SOCIAL

### **PERFORMANCE DATA**

The performance data reflects the annual disclosure of our sustainability performance at wholly owned operations and joint ventures where Newmont is the operator. Any variances from these boundaries are noted directly in the data table where they occur.

References to "Newmont," "the Company," "we" and "our" refer to Newmont Corporation and/or our affiliates, joint ventures and subsidiaries. References to "Goldcorp" or "former Goldcorp" refer to Goldcorp, Inc. prior to its April 2019 acquisition by Newmont. References to "former Newmont" refer to Newmont Mining Corporation — the entity name before the acquisition of Goldcorp. Although these transactions closed in the middle of 2019, where possible, data for the former Newmont sites and former Goldcorp sites have been consolidated. 2019 data sets generally omit the June 2020 formation of the Nevada Gold Mines ("NGM") joint venture with Barrick Gold Corporation ("Barrick"), in which Newmont and Barrick contributed their Nevada operations and assets in exchange for a 38.5 percent and 61.5 percent interest, respectively, with Barrick as the operator.

Data presented in this report covers our performance for the 2020 calendar year, which corresponds to our fiscal year.

Some data are calculated using a metric Newmont has developed called gold equivalent ounces (GEO). GEOs provide a comparable basis for analysis related to copper, silver, lead and zinc and are calculated as pounds or ounces produced multiplied by the ratio of other metals' price to the gold price.

## Order of Performance Data Tables

Performance data tables are presented in alphabetical order by category:

- Business ethics and transparency: Compliance and significant events, ethics and anti-corruption measures, policy influence
- Environment: Air quality, biodiversity, closure and reclamation, cyanide management, significant materials consumption, spills and releases, tailings management, water stewardship, waste
- Health and safety: COVID-19 health and safety statistics, emergency preparedness, health and safety
- Social: Artisanal and small-scale mining, community development, community impacts, complaints and grievances, human rights assessments, human rights supplier screening, human rights training for security, human rights training for the workforce, Indigenous peoples, land or resource disputes, resettlement and/or relocation activity, social impact assessments and engagement
- Value sharing: COVID-19 Global Community Support Fund, community investments, economic value generated and distributed, supply chain, tax transparency
- Workforce: Workforce demographics, compensation and equal remuneration, diversity and inclusion, labor-management relations, talent attraction and retention, training and professional development

Detailed Energy and Climate Change data is available in our 2020 ESG Data Tables and will be further discussed in Newmont's Climate Strategy Report, which we plan to publish in mid-2021.



Photo: Cerro Negro, Argentina

# Business Ethics and Transparency:

#### **COMPLIANCE AND SIGNIFICANT EVENTS**

## Fines paid for non-compliance with environmental, social or economic laws and regulations: Site level<sup>3</sup>

	Site	Authority	Amount (US\$) <sup>1, 2</sup>	Summary of issue
Environmental-related fine	Cerro Negro	Secretaría de Estado de Minería de la Provincia de Santa Cruz	\$95,460	In October 2020, the Ministry of Mining conducted an inspection at Cerro Negro, and within its report it found recirculation pools that were used for exploration activities that were not authorized under the current permits. The Secretariat of the Province of Santa Cruz fined the operation for conducting activities not allowed and ordered the operation to develop a plan to close the pools and establish alternative methodologies.
Environmental-related fine	Yanacocha	National Forestry and Wildlife Service (SERFOR)	\$184	Peru's National Forestry and Wildlife Service issued Yanacocha a small fine for conducting biodiversity monitoring activities without a permit during the 2017 dry season. Management has reinforced training to ensure permits are received prior to conducting activities.
Environmental-related fine	Yanacocha	Environmental Assessment and Auditing Government Body (OEFA)	\$41,907	Yanacocha was fined for non-compliance in 2017 with the Environmental Management Study. In one case, Yanacocha did not carry out the required maintenance activities during the post-closure stage for the Maqui Maqui North Pit. The other matter involved Yanacocha not carrying out the required biological maintenance activities during the post-closure stage for the San José Waste Disposal Deposit (eastern zone).
Environmental-related fine	Yanacocha	Environmental Assessment and Auditing Government Body (OEFA)	\$116,593	Yanacocha was fined for not having sufficient freeboard in the facility in 2018, which is an Environmental Management Study requirement.
Environmental-related fine	Yanacocha	Environmental Assessment and Auditing Government Body (OEFA)	\$22,826	Yanacocha was fined for not having in place adequate measures to prevent a barren (CN) solution spill in 2018 from a booster tank (located at kilometer 45 on the service access road) and reverse osmosis tank located in the plant.
		Total fines	\$276,970	

SOCIAL

## Sanctions imposed for non-compliance with environmental or social laws and regulations: Site level<sup>1</sup>

	Site	Authority	Amount (US\$)³	Summary of issue
Non-compliance environmental-related sanction	Tanami	Central Land Council	N/A	Completed the following actions related to a 2019 Restricted Work Area breach:  • Issued formal apology from Newmont leadership to the Traditional Owners at the 2020 Traditional Liaison Committee Meeting  • Completed fencing and rehab work and converted Restricted Work Area 1 (RWA1) into Exclusion Zone No. 7 (EZ7) to prevent further access  Committed to completing area inspection with Traditional Owners (still pending) in alignment with other site visit activities

<sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 307-7: Non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations; and GRI 419-1: Non-compliance with laws and regulations in the social and economic area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fines are converted to U.S. dollars using the average 2020 exchange rate for each currency as of Dec. 31, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Four Yanacocha fines were given in 2020, but based on events that occurred in 2017–2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 307-1: Non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations; and GRI 419-1: Non-compliance with laws and regulations in the social and economic area.

### Significant environmental and/or social events: Site level<sup>1,2</sup>

	Site	Level of event	Summary of issue
Loss of refinery sump pump during power outage	CC&V	3	On the night of May 24, 2020, the ADR 1 plant sustained a power bump that caused the sump pump in the refinery to lose power. During this time, fresh water used for the e-cell scrubber pump began to fill the sump area and lower furnace area. Once this area was at capacity, the flow began to exit the building under the closed garage door out front of the refinery. The flow was noticed on May 25, 2020 on the front apron and the sump was re-racked and turned back on. All of the water released from the refinery collected in a parking ditch and a low spot in the parking lot located in front of the refinery. Samples of the released solution were collected, ponded solution was pumped back into the refinery, and contaminated soil was excavated and placed on VLF 1.
Process solution spill	Peñasquito	3	On January 4, 2020, approximately 120 liters of 830 ppm WAD cyanide solution overflowed secondary containment and spilled onto the ground due to a power failure within the processing plant. The spillage was contained within the processing plant area, and material was neutralized and cleaned up.
Process solution spill	Porcupine	3	On January 10, 2020, approximately 65 cubic meters (m³) of process solution with a WAD cyanide concentration of 3.62 ppm spilled out of secondary containment. The spill was due to a valve failure on the return line between the crusher and the mill. The spillage was contained and remainder was cleaned up.
Sanitary sewage spill	Porcupine	3	On September 27, 2020, approximately 1,000 liters of sewage spilled out of secondary containment due to a plugged discharge line and excess water from inclement weather. The material was cleaned up.
Process water spill	Peñasquito	3	On October 24, 2020, approximately 37 cubic meters (m³) of process water, with concentration of less than 0.5 ppm WAD cyanide, spilled out of secondary containment due to an open drain valve. The material was cleaned up.
Low pH discharge	Yanacocha	3	Between December 5 and 11, 2020, the site recorded pH values below the legal limit at DCP8 — the discharge commitment point in the Ocuchomachay Creek. The pH values ranged between 4.26 and 5.86 (legal limit is 6 to 9). The discharges at this monitoring point come from the Ocuchomachay pond, which is used to collect treated water from the Acid Water Treatment Plant (WTP) and the East Excess WTP. The low pH values are believed to have come from the Carchugo Pad construction activity where surface flows are collected by sediment ponds and pumped into the Ocuchomachay pond. Although this practice has not been declared in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), it was implemented as a contingency in 2019 due to the increased water levels in the sediment ponds and the inability to discharge directly to the environment.
			On December 9, the site recorded another low pH value at the DCP9 location with a reading of 5.20. This was due to the transfer of water from the Ocuchomachay pond to the Arnacocha pond. The transfer was done due to heavy rains, which resulted in high volumes in the Ocuchomachay pond. The transfer was done to reduce the volume to safe operating levels.
			The baseline data from the EIA indicates an acid pH value as low as 4.2 (in the year 2000), associated with the mineralogy of the area.
Impact to culturally significant site	Ahafo	3	We identified incomplete mapping and record management of known and relocated cultural sites at our Ahafo operation in Ghana. Because our records were not accurate in late 2020, we initially believed we had impacted a site that, in fact, had been relocated around 10 years ago. We engaged the community in a manner as if we had impacted the site, providing compensation and participating in pacification rituals led by the local Traditional Authority. We are working with the local Traditional Authority to undertake a region-wide review of cultural site information to ensure its accuracy and stronger collaboration going forward.
Discharge exceedance	Cajamarca	3	In October 2020, Newmont's Site Water Steering Committee approved the increase of the discharge flows above the maximum authorized by the water authority (ANA) at the DCP4B location in Grande Basin from 95 liters per second (I/s) to 200 l/s. The decision was made due to limited rainfall and water availability to downstream users. The increased discharge flow was communicated to water authorities and planned to remain in place until the beginning of the wet season and when increased natural flows would ensure supply of water to Cajamarca City.
Topsoil stockpiled on wetland	Yanacocha	3	In September 2020, during a review of the Sulfides Project by the Environmental Ministry, the regulator identified a non-approved topsoil stockpile which was impacting a wetland located in Encajon Creek. The topsoil stockpile was related to the construction of the Chugurana 2 acid water pond, which started in July 2018 and finished in November 2018. During construction, the topsoil was removed and stockpiled in the south area, impacting the wetland. Plans commenced for the removal of the stockpile.
Process water spill	Yanacocha	4	On July 24, 2020, the discharges of treated water from La Quinua did not meet the required levels. There was a one-hour period where no discharge occurred to DCP6 (discharge commitment point). Upon review, the site identified that both DCP3 and DCP6 fell below the minimum levels committed in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). This was due to DCP6 discharge reliance on gravity feed and the capacity of pumps, which were not able to provide adequate discharge.
Total events	10		

Due to acquisition activities that occurred In 2019, Newmont managed two separate event reporting systems, which are expected to be integrated in the 2020–2021 timeframe. Australia and Peru sites categorize "significant" events as those classified as level 3 or greater on a risk/impact scale of 1–5; both events were categorized as level 3 events. Sites in Argentina, Canada and Mexico utilize a separate, but comparable rating scale that also identifies "significant" events as those classified as level 3 or greater on a 1–5 risk/impact scale; the Cerro Negro and Éléonore events were classified as level 3, according to the comparable rating scale. The former Goldcorp risk/impact scale was updated in April 2019 to align it with Newmont's event reporting system where possible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 411-1: Incidents of violations involving rights of Indigenous peoples.

#### **ETHICS AND ANTI-CORRUPTION MEASURES**

Production in countries that have the 20 lowest rankings in Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index<sup>1,2</sup>

Newmont does not operate in any of the countries designated among the 20 lowest rankings in the 2020 Transparency International Corruption Perception Index.

## Total ethics matters addressed and substantiated: Trailing five years<sup>1</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Total matters addressed	402	352	477	534	383
Total substantiated cases	165	134	215	169	71

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 103-2: The management approach and its components.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transparency International's lowest 20 countries (ranking #161–180) for 2020 in descending order are: Nicaragua, Cambodia, Chad, Iraq, Burundi, Congo, Turkmenistan, Haiti, Dominican Republic of Congo, Libya, Guinea Bissau, North Korea, Venezuela, Equatorial Guinea, Sudan, Afghanistan, Yemen, Syria, South Sudan, and Somalia. Source: https://www.transparency.org/cpi2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-510a: Production in countries that have the 20 lowest rankings in TICP Index.

### Number and outcomes of ethics cases opened, closed, substantiated and addressed: Trailing five years1

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Total new issues captured in the Integrity Helpline <sup>2</sup>	357	410	454	541	383
Total cases open at beginning of the year <sup>3</sup>	65	20	78	105	128
Total matters closed at year end <sup>4</sup>	402	352	477	518	460
Total number of matters remaining open at year end⁵	20	78	55	130	52
Total substantiated cases <sup>6</sup>	165	134	215	169	71
Number of cases resulting in a recommended change of business process	40	30	65	51	7
Number of cases resulting in human resources or management actions <sup>7,8</sup>	125	99	142	118	63
Number of cases resulting in employees being counseled on their actions or behavior9	N/R	49	80	54	24
Number of cases resulting in disciplinary action	N/R	25	38	29	14
Number of cases resulting in employee resigning or being terminated <sup>10</sup>	N/R	25	24	25	26
Average number of days cases were closed	54	37	53	68	80
Total number of unsubstantiated cases <sup>11</sup>	237	218	311	365	100
Total matters addressed (substantiated cases + unsubstantiated cases) <sup>12</sup>	402	352	526	534	171

<sup>1</sup> In 2020, we completed an overhaul of the process used to triage and categorize matters received through our Integrity Helpline process. This resulted in a re-baseline of data for 2020 forward which we believe more accurately reflects the nature of misconduct at Newmont. In addition, certain matters such as conflict of interest (COI) disclosures (as opposed to investigations) which were previously captured in the Integrity Helpline were moved to our COI Disclosure Platform. Similarly, we also began to divide allegations received into escalated and non-escalated matters based on the nature of the allegations. COVID-19, process overhaul changes, divestitures and employee headcount reduction of ~2,300 led to a decrease in the overall number of matters received and triaged as escalated investigations in 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All matters opened in FY 2020. This includes cases, nominations and inquiries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These are cases carried over from Q4 of the prior year and still in process as of Jan. 1, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> All matters closed in FY 2020 (includes allegations, inquiries, nominations).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Total In-process allegations (escalated and non-escalated) on Dec. 31, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Total number of escalated allegations that were substantiated.

<sup>7</sup> Newmont began categorizing the outcomes of human resources or management actions in 2017; detailed categorization of these cases for 2015 and 2016 was not reported (N/R).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This only includes the number of allegations that resulted in some form of counseling or discipline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Number of allegations where parties involved were only counseled; does not include discipline or termination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Number of allegations that resulted in one or more terminations. Includes a case that had a disciplinary action different from termination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> This includes all allegations closed in 2020 (even cases opened in 2019 and carried over).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Out of 284, 245 were allegations. Out of the 245, 171 were escalated. 171 is the total number of escalated allegations and 71 of these were substantiated.

### Number and outcomes of substantiated ethics cases: Country level<sup>1</sup>

### Breakout of substantiated cases by outcomes

	Total cases addressed¹	Total cases substantiated	Recommended change of business process	HR-related: Employees being counseled on their actions or behavior <sup>2</sup>	HR-related: Employee disciplinary action³	HR-related: Employee resignation or termination⁴
Ghana	18	9	1	4	1	12
Australia	5	4	0	1	0	4
U.S.	14	5	0	3	1	1
Canada	12	7	0	7	2	3
Mexico	21	10	0	3	5	2
Argentina	34	10	2	5	1	3
Suriname	31	8	1	3	1	3
Peru	28	15	2	5	4	5
Chile	4	2	1	0	1	0
French Guiana	3	1	0	0	0	5
Guatemala	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total	171	71	7	31	16	38

<sup>1</sup> Cases addressed are interpreted as all escalated allegations closed during FY 2020, regardless of when the allegation was received through the Integrity Helpline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes all instances of counseling related to escalated, substantiated allegations. One allegation can result in multiple instances of counseling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Includes all instances of disciplinary action (excluding terminations) related to escalated, substantiated allegations. One allegation can result in multiple instances of disciplinary action.

<sup>4</sup> Includes all instances of terminations/termination equivalents related to escalated, substantiated allegations. One allegation can result in multiple terminations.

### Total number of ethics matters opened

	Number	Percentage
Allegations of misconduct or inappropriate behavior	163	42%
Concerns about corruption <sup>1</sup>	57	15%
Inquiries	126	33%
Environmental health and safety regulations	10	3%
Other	27	7%
Total	383	100%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Concerns about corruption are defined to include the following types of matters: conflicts of interest (31 cases), improper supplier or contractor activities (23 cases), and corruption (3 cases). Newmont's Code of Conduct and Business Integrity Policy, both available at Newmont.com, outline our approach to preventing and addressing matters related to conflicts of interests, gifts, and bribery/corruption.

### Percentage of employees attending Newmont Code of Conduct and/or anti-corruption trainings: Trailing three years<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	2018	2019	2020
Percentage of employees attending Code of Conduct-related training <sup>2</sup>	90%	93%	28%
Percentage of employees taking online Code of Conduct training and anti-corruption training for certain job grades (employees with work-issued computers) <sup>2</sup>	98%	93%	86%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Newmont began tracking in-person training in 2018.

#### **POLICY INFLUENCE**

#### Political contributions<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Political affiliation	Amount
Democratic Governors Association	D	\$25,000
Nevada Mining Association PAC	NP	\$10,000
Democratic Lt. Governors Association	D	\$10,000
Democratic Attorneys General Association	D	\$15,000
Total		\$60,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We have limited influence and no control over the political activities of Nevada Gold Mines joint venture (NGM). Nevertheless, our intention is to provide transparency consistent with the Company's standards on political contributions and disclose NGM's contributions as they relate to our 38.5% interest. In addition, NGM may elect to contribute to organizations or candidates that would not comport with our approach to political engagement and disclosure. In 2020, NGM made \$1,991,250 in political contributions to Nevada candidates and political action committees associated with Nevada campaigns according to the office of the Nevada Secretary of State (SOS) and NGM. This amount included \$750,000 to the American Exceptionalism Institute, a 501(c)(4) fund commonly referred to as a "dark money" fund. Such funds are not required by law to disclose the source of their funds. Newmont was not involved in and did not approve any of these NGM contributions. Newmont's own political contributions standard does not allow contributions to dark money funds. Newmont has advised Barrick management that it does not support such contributions and that disclosure will be made consistent with our standards in our annual sustainability report.

## Trade association dues: Amount and percentage attributable to political advocacy<sup>1</sup>

	Annual dues	Dues attributable to political advocacy	Percentage attributable to political advocacy
National Mining Association	\$562,000	\$112,400	20%
Colorado Mining Association	\$75,000	\$30,000	40%
American Exploration & Mining Association	\$35,000	\$8,750	25%
Nevada Mining Association	\$10,000	\$1,700	17%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 415-1: Political Contributions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In 2020, Newmont's integrity and compliance training efforts were adapted due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Newmont delivered focused, targeted "virtual" training to high exposure roles and functions, including but not limited to Regional and Site Leadership teams, Sustainability & External Relations, Security, Supply Chain, Exploration and new employees. More than 1,900 employees received such focused, targeted training designed for their roles. In 2020, Newmont also completed online training for 945 former Goldcorp employees (mainly located in Canada, Mexico and Argentina) on Newmont's Code of Conduct and related policies and standards. Due to the pandemic, the annual in-person training requirement was suspended, and the company-wide 2020 online training to be was deferred to Q1 2021. As of March 23, 2021, 86% of the 2020 online training had been completed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 205-2: Communication and Training about anti-corruption policies and procedures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 415-1: Political Contributions.

### U.S. lobbying activities<sup>1</sup>

All 2020 reports filed by lobbyists representing Newmont (both internal government relations employees and external representatives) are available from the U.S. House of Representatives, using this link.

<sup>1</sup> Newmont only makes political contributions in the U.S. and only where appropriate and allowed by law. Newmont complies with the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (Section 5) and publishes LD-2 Disclosure Forms, which are publicly available from the U.S. Secretary of the Senate, Office of Public Records (lobbyingdisclosure.house.gov) and/or the U.S. Clerk of the House of Representatives: Legislative Resource Center (senate.gov).

#### NEWPAC contributions<sup>1</sup>

	Donors	Amount raised
Contributions	35	\$17,124
Total		\$17,124

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Information publicly reported and searchable on the Federal Election Commission website.

### Policy influence: Largest individual contributions and main topics

Largest Individual contributions	National Mining Association	\$562,000
	Michael Pieper GPA	\$132,700
and expenditures <sup>1</sup>	Cassidy & Associates	\$120,000
Main political topics in 2020	The following are the primary policy areas in which Newmont was involved in 2020:  General mining law  Strategic and critical minerals  Good Samaritan and other abandoned mine reclamation legislation  Omnibus Appropriations Act for 2021  Public lands, including wilderness study areas  USMCA  TRI Regulations  Legislative efforts concerning tax sections of COVID-19 relief bills  COVID-19 related operational and travel restrictions	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Newmont only makes political contributions in the U.S. and only where appropriate and allowed by law. Newmont complies with the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 (Section 5) and publishes LD-2 Disclosure Forms, which are publicly available from the U.S. Secretary of the Senate, Office of Public Records (lobbyingdisclosure.house.gov) and/or the U.S. Clerk of the House of Representatives: Legislative Resource Center (senate.gov), and also from Newmont.com.

#### **Policy influence: Trailing four years**

	Institution/activity	2017	2018	2019	2020
Annual total monetary contributions and	Lobbying <sup>1</sup>	\$988,000	\$1,080,000	\$1,282,593	\$1,352,400
	Political contribution	\$67,500	\$186,514	\$66,700	\$60,000
expenditures	Trade associations <sup>2</sup>	\$180,458	\$180,458	\$1,006,398	\$682,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Through 2018, data included only federal lobbying expenses. Beginning in 2019, data includes state and federal lobbying expenses. For 2020, \$1,080,000 was spent on federal expenses and \$272,400 on state lobbying expenses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Through 2018, trade associations included only the portion of dues used for lobbying, and only if the lobbying expense exceeded \$50,000. Beginning in 2019, data includes full dues payments for U.S. mining trade associations.

SOCIAL

## **ENVIRONMENT**

#### **AIR QUALITY**

Air quality: Site level (tonnes)<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Companyleika	Sulphur oxides	Nitrogen oxides	Particulate (PMA)	Carbon	Manager (115)	A	1 d (Db)	Salarainer (Sa)	Persistent organic	Volatile organic compounds
	Country/site	(SO <sub>x</sub> )	(NO <sub>x</sub> )	matter (PM10)	monoxide (CO)	Mercury (Hg)	Arsenic (As)	Lead (Pb)		pollutants (POPs)	(VOCs)
Africa	Ghana	30.31	155.30	26.51	556.70	0.00	0.00	1.27	0.18	N/R	0.04
	Ahafo	10.11	50.13	16.00	245.23	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	N/R	0.00
	Akyem	20.20	105.17	10.51	311.47	0.00	0.00	1.20	0.18	N/R	0.04
Americas: North	U.S.	5.44	860.92	442.71	545.22	De minimis	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	353.80
	CC&V	5.44	860.92	442.71	545.22	De minimis	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	353.80
	Canada	7.90	1,528.70	395.30	632.70	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	7.50
	Éléonore	5.70	114.80	151.80	120.40	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	5.20
	Musselwhite	1.70	285.70	100.20	203.70	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	2.30
	Porcupine	0.50	1,128.20	143.30	308.60	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Mexico	6.00	2,504.40	3,473.90	2,059.60	0.00	0.00	2.60	0.00	0.00	12.10
	Peñasquito	6.00	2,504.40	3,473.90	2,059.60	0.00	0.00	2.60	0.00	0.00	12.10
Americas: South	Argentina	0.13	132.93	255.03	112.38	De minimis	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65
	Cerro Negro	0.13	132.93	255.03	112.38	De minimis	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65
	Suriname	822.04	2,173.27	110.34	154.60	0.01	0.00	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
	Merian	822.04	2,173.27	110.34	154.60	0.01	0.00	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
	Peru	0.16	0.20	0.25	0.49	0.15	0.07	0.01	N/R	N/R	N/R
	Yanacocha	0.16	0.20	0.25	0.49	0.15	0.07	0.01	N/R	N/R	N/R
Australia	Australia	4.36	3,251.27	13,259.47	1,676.48	0.00	2.42	0.45	0.07	0.00	291.24
	Boddington	3.56	1,995.32	11,605.98	935.04	0.00	1.16	0.37	0.07	N/R	137.46
	Tanami	0.80	1,255.95	1,653.49	741.44	0.00	1.26	0.08	N/R	N/R	153.78
Global	Total	876.34	10,606.99	17,963.51	5,738.17	0.26	2.49	4.52	0.25	0.00	665.33

<sup>1</sup> Air emissions of the following pollutants: (1) CO, (2) NO<sub>x</sub> (excluding N<sub>2</sub>O), (3) SO<sub>x</sub> (4) particulate matter (PM10), (5) mercury (Hg), (6) lead (Pb), and (7) volatile organic compounds (VOCs); TR refers to trace amounts, defined as <0.0001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 305-6: Emissions of ozone-depleting substances; GRI: 305-7: NO, SO, and other significant air emissions; and SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-120a.1: Air emissions of the following pollutants: (1) CO, (2) NO, (excluding N<sub>2</sub>O), (3) SO, (4) particulate matter (PM10), (5) mercury (Hg), (6) lead (Pb), and (7) volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

## Air quality: Trailing five years<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Units	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Sulphur oxides (SO <sub>x</sub> )	thousand tonnes	0.1	1.5	1.6	2.2	0.9
Nitrogen oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )	thousand tonnes	5.5	6.9	6.2	13.1	10.6
Particulate matter (PM10)	thousand tonnes	17.3	16.7	16.6	22.7	18.0
Carbon monoxide (CO)	thousand tonnes	3.4	3.0	2.8	7.0	5.7
Mercury (Hg)	tonnes	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.3
Arsenic (As)	tonnes	3.4	3.0	2.8	3.1	2.5
Lead (Pb)	tonnes	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	4.5
Selenium (Se)	tonnes	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
Persistent organic pollutants (POPs)	tonnes	TR	TR	TR	TR	TR
Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)	thousand tonnes	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TR refers to trace amounts, defined as <0.0001; figures for POPs, VOPs, mercury, arsenic, lead and selenium are not reported for 2019 former Goldcorp sites, but will be reported in future years as reporting systems are integrated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 305-6: Emissions of ozone-depleting substances; GRI: 305-7: NO, SO, and other significant air emissions; and SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-120a.1: Air emissions of the following pollutants: (1) CO, (2) NO, (excluding N<sub>3</sub>O), (3) SO, (4) particulate matter (PM10), (5) mercury (Hg), (6) lead (Pb), and (7) volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The 2018 SO, figure is restated on July 15, 2020. The restatement is based on adjustments made to the Suriname site operational engine runtime hours and emissions assumptions for 2018, resulting in a higher figure for Suriname, and a higher overall figure for the 2018 SO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

#### **BIODIVERSITY**

#### Total wildlife mortalities: Site level<sup>3</sup>

	Country/site	Number mortalities
Africa	Ghana	1,018
	Ahafo	0
	Akyem <sup>1</sup>	1,018
Americas: North	U.S.	9
	CC&V	9
	Canada	11
	Éléonore	5
	Musselwhite	4
	Porcupine	2
	Mexico	3
	Peñasquito	3
Americas: South	Argentina	0
	Cerro Negro	0
	Suriname	114
	Merian <sup>1</sup>	114
	Peru	1
	Yanacocha	1
Australia	Australia	69
	Boddington	57
	Tanami	12
Global <sup>2</sup>	Total	1,225

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Akyem and Merian numbers are outliers because there were deaths of schools of fish at that site this year that are not considered threatened or endangered species.

### Number of wildlife mortalities: Trailing five years<sup>1,3</sup>

	2016	2017	2018²	2019	2020
Total	129	117	609	171	1,225
Critically endangered	0	0	0	1	0
Endangered	0	0	0	0	0
Vulnerable	0	0	2	3	0
Near threatened	1	2	1	3	3
Least concern	128	110	84	74	1,077
Not specified or undefined	0	5	522	90	145

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The total wildlife mortalities includes all mortalities that occurred at the operations. The categories identify the number of wildlife mortalities by IUCN Red List category: Critically endangered, endangered, vulnerable, least concern. Those that are omitted from the 'not specified or undefined' categories include mortalities that are not on the red list and/or those that are undefined.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The total wildlife mortalities includes all mortalities that occurred at the operations. The categories identify the number of wildlife mortalities by IUCN Red List category: Critically endangered, endangered, vulnerable, least concern. Those that are omitted from the 'not specified or undefined' categories include mortalities that are not on the Red List and those that are undefined.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 304-4: IUCN Red List species and national conservation list species with habitats in areas affected by operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We have restated the data for 2018 to update the disclosure based on the definition above. The increase in total mortalities between 2018 and 2019 is due to two incidents of fish mortalities found in a storm water drain at our Akyem site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 304-4: IUCN Red List species and national conservation list species with habitats in areas affected by operations.

## Newmont's operations in relation to key biodiversity areas: Site level<sup>2</sup>

	Country/site	High biodiversity value area (km²)¹	Position relative to key biodiversity area (KBA)	Ecological sensitivity	Mitigation plan	Partners/collaborators
Africa	Ghana	20				
	Akyem	20	Contains portions of Ajenjua Bepo Forest Reserve (not KBA but habitat for species)	Forest reserve for IUCN red-listed tree species Cola boxiana (endangered) and Necrosyrtes monachus (critically endangered)	In 2020, continued to develop conceptual and pre-feasibility studies for Akyem offset.  Partnering with Conservation Alliance to implement critical species management program (CSMP) for <i>Cola boxiana</i> and other nationally important species.  Established nurseries to grow seedlings that will be planted within the mine area as part of the operation's closure rehabilitation plan.	Forestry Commission of Ghana Environmental Protection Agency, Ghana Ghana Minerals Commission Conservation Alliance The Wychwood Project International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Resource Management Support Centre (RMSC)
Americas:	Suriname	14				
South	Merian	14	Contains portions of upland and lowland ever- humid forest	Rainforest habitat for IUCN red-listed tree species <i>Virola surinamensis</i> (baboonwood) (endangered) and <i>Vouacapoua americana</i> (bruinhart) (critically endangered)	Implemented a process to avoid and minimize vegetation disturbance and unnecessary impacts to natural habitats and the two identified IUCN red-listed tree species.  Creating an offset to compensate for biodiversity impacts and completed a pilot (developed plans for a second pilot but it was put on hold due to COVID-19) for the reforestation of land impacted by artisanal small-scale mining (ASM) within Merian's right of exploitation (RoE).  Drafted preliminary report.	Global biodiversity experts, Golder and Hardner & Gullison Associates  Develop partnership with University of Suriname  IUCN
	Peru	37				
	Yanacocha	37	Contains portions of Rio Cajamarca IBA	The tropical Andes are considered a biodiversity hotspot by Conservation International, and a limited portion of the operation is located within rainforest habitat. Habitat for <i>Pristimantis simonsii</i> (Paramo Andes frog) (critically endangered)	Developed Biodiversity Management Plan and Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP-19), with a target of no net loss of key biodiversity value (KBV) by reclaiming areas with local species and biomonitoring to identify changes associated with our operations or other activities in the area.  Achieve the following specific actions for each KBV:  1. Improve quality of <i>Pristimantis simonsii</i> habitats during closure  2. Prioritize planting of <i>Polylepis racemosa</i> species in reclamation areas  3. Use andean grassland (Pajonal) on every area that will be reclaimed  4. Prohibit disturbance of lagoons and bogs	Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia

	Country/site	High biodiversity value area (km²)¹	Position relative to key biodiversity area (KBA)	Ecological sensitivity	Mitigation plan	Partners/collaborators
Australia	Australia	136				
	Boddington	92	Contains portions of Birdlife International "Endemic Bird Area of Southwest Australia"	Woodland and shrubland habitat for black cockatoo; Calyptorhynchus latirostris (endangered), Calyptorhynchus baudinii (endangered) and Bettongia penicillata (critically endangered)	Established 190 hectare (ha) Hotham Farm Conservation Covenant area over remnant Jarrah forest.  Greening Australia  Seeded/planted remnant vegetation in 470 ha Hotham Farm Restoration Area (approximately 300 ha seeded/planted over 2017–18).  Working with Greening Australia for regular inspection and weed management (offset still requires placement of a protective mechanism and will most likely be a Soil Conservation Covenant).  Working with Murdoch University on research to restore black cockatoo feeding habitats at mine sites within the Jarrah forest and, more generally, in landscapes throughout southwestern Australia.  Brations  Risk management and impact mitigation strategies are administered through the NTO Biodiversity and Land Management Plan and vegetation Cover Model (Vigenous maintenance through the Biodiversity Risk Assessment Tool and are detailed in the operation's Mining Management Plan, submitted and regulatory approved via Mining Authorisation 0086-02.  (bare ground, litter, grass, stability of landforms, relat commissioned as a collabo NTO, Low Ecological Service November 2019, CWS Surv multispectral imagery (RGE flora lefal and for Windy Hill. This multispectral landfor Windy Hill. This multispectral landforms against analogu	-
	Tanami	44	Granites and DBS operations are located within the south-west Tanami Site of Conservation Significance (SoCS) and the DBS Site of Botanical Significance (SoBS) and the Southern Tanami Indigenous Protection Area (IPA)	Newmont Tanami Operations (NTO) is located within the Southern Tanami Indigenous Protection Area (IPA); the south-west Tanami Site of Conservation Significance (SOCS); and the Dead Bullock Soak (DBS) Sites of Botanical Significance (SOBS); these areas are home to numerous fauna and flora species protected under both Territory and Federal legislation. As a result, NTO presents a number of risks to biodiversity values (species, habitat and ecosystem services) in areas of conservation significance.	Risk management and impact mitigation strategies are administered through the NTO Biodiversity and Land Management Plan and maintenance through the Biodiversity Risk Assessment Tool and are detailed in the operation's Mining Management Plan, submitted and	In 2019 and 2020, NTO continued to use and develop the Newmont Vegetation Cover Model (VCM) and erosion modeling methodology utilizing multispectral imagery from unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) and field surveys to calculate the coverage of vegetation classes (bare ground, litter, grass, shrub and tree), species diversity, and the stability of landforms, relative to selected analogue sites. This work was commissioned as a collaborative rehabilitation assessment between NTO, Low Ecological Services (LES) and CWS Surveyors. In October and November 2019, CWS Surveyors used UAV to capture 280 hectares of multispectral imagery (RGB, DEM, red edge, near-infrared and thermal) of the rehabilitated landforms and analogue sites at DBS, Granites and Windy Hill. This multispectral imagery was used in 2020 to train the VCM Model to assess the stability and performance of the entire rehabilitated landforms against analogue sites (conceptually background or natural sites) for each of these classes (where applicable). The methodology aims to simplify performance assessment by making the data collection methodology more repeatable and accurate of the entire rehabilitation landform with whole landform metrics. Eventually, it is hoped this technology can be extended to differentiate between species to allow for biodiversity mapping of rare flora and mapping of invasive species.

¹ These areas are defined as protected area based on jurisdiction or other regulatory identifications. Newmont has its own internal definition for key biodiversity values which aligns with IFC6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 304-1: Operational sites owned, leased, managed in, or adjacent to, protected areas and areas of high biodiversity value outside protected areas; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-160a.1: Description of environmental management policies and practices for active sites. All of our sites comply with Newmont's Biodiversity Management Standard which calls for a risk assessment and Biodiversity Action Plan is developed for those key biodiversity values (as defined by Newmont) that have a risk of high or greater. This information may not be fully described in the table above.

## Status of acid rock drainage at Newmont's sites<sup>1</sup>

	Country/site	Not predicted to occur	Predicted to occur	Actively mitigated	Under treatment or remediation
Africa	Ghana				
	Ahafo			Yes	
	Akyem			Yes	
Americas: North	U.S.				
	CC&V			Yes	
	Canada				
	Éléonore			Yes	
	Musselwhite		Yes		
	Porcupine			Yes	
	Mexico				
	Peñasquito			Yes	
Americas: South	Argentina				
	Cerro Negro		Yes		
	Suriname				
	Merian	Yes			
	Peru				
	Yanacocha				Yes
Australia	Australia				
	Boddington			Yes	
	Tanami			Yes	
Global	Total	8.3%	16.7%	66.7%	8.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SASB EM-MM-160a.2: Percentage of mine sites where acid rock drainage is: (1) predicted to occur, (2) actively mitigated, and (3) under treatment or remediation.

**Total disturbance not** 

#### **CLOSURE AND RECLAMATION**

## Amount of land disturbed or rehabilitated: Site level (ha)1, 2, 3

	Country/site	Total land disturbance – closing balance reported for 2019 calendar year	Subsequent survey corrections on 2019 balance	Total disturbance at beginning of reporting period (opening balance)	New disturbance during 2020	Previously reclaimed area re-disturbed during 2020	Achieved reclamation (to agreed upon end use) during reporting period	yet reclaimed to agreed upon end use at end of reporting period (closing balance)
Africa	Ghana	3,496.7	0.0	3,496.7	135.1	0.0	13.9	3,617.9
	Ahafo	2,394.7	0.0	2,394.7	89.7	0.0	12.9	2,471.5
	Akyem	1,102.0	0.0	1,102.0	45.4	0.0	1.0	1,146.4
Americas: North	U.S.	1,264.9	18.4	1,283.3	23.6	0.0	14.2	1,292.7
	CC&V	1,264.9	18.4	1,283.3	23.6	0.0	14.2	1,292.7
	Canada	3,249.5	66.2	3,315.7	5.4	0.0	8.0	3,313.1
	Éléonore	379.4	66.2	445.6	5.4	0.0	0.0	451.0
	Musselwhite	323.0	0.0	323.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	323.0
	Porcupine	2,547.1	0.0	2,547.1	0.0	0.0	8.0	2,539.1
	Mexico	238.7	0.0	238.7	34.2	0.0	0.0	272.9
	Peñasquito	238.7	0.0	238.7	34.2	0.0	0.0	272.9
Americas: South	Argentina	452.7	0.0	452.7	29.7	0.7	5.0	478.1
	Cerro Negro	452.7	0.0	452.7	29.7	0.7	5.0	478.1
	Suriname	1,269.2	0.0	1,269.2	110.0	0.0	14.5	1,364.8
	Merian	1,269.2	0.0	1,269.2	110.0	0.0	14.5	1,364.8
	Peru	4,014.3	442.7	4,457.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	4,458.6
	Yanacocha	4,014.3	442.7	4,457.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	4,458.6
Australia	Australia	5,695.6	(57.0)	5,638.6	178.5	8.8	0.0	5,825.9
	Boddington	4,088.8	0.0	4,088.8	178.5	0.0	0.0	4,267.3
	Tanami	1,606.8	(57.0)	1,549.8	0.0	8.8	0.0	1,558.6
Global	Total	19,681.6	470.3	20,152.0	518.1	9.5	55.6	20,624.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Twelve of Newmont's sites, representing 100% of Newmont's active mine sites, have closure plans in place as of year-end 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Our 2020 Closure target was to achieve 90% of planned reclamation activities/associated actions across the Company. This was not met due to delays related to the pandemic. For 2021, the Closure target is to achieve 95% of planned reclamation activities/associated actions across the Company.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosures MM1: Amount of land (owned or leased, and managed for production activities or extractive use) disturbed or rehabilitated; and MM10: Number and percentage of sites with closure plan in place.

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Annual disturbance not yet reclaimed to	24.64	22.42	24.40	27.00	20.62
agreed upon end use at year end	31.64	33.12	34.18	27.09	20.62

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosure MM1: Amount of land (owned or leased, and managed for production activities or extractive use) disturbed or rehabilitated.

### Mine lease area<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Country and site	Hectares
Africa	Ghana	61,807
	Ahafo	55,532
	Akyem	6,275
Americas: North	U.S.	2,431
	CC&V	2,431
	Canada	72,451
	Éléonore	882
	Musselwhite	65,189
	Porcupine	6,380
	Mexico	88,462
	Peñasquito	88,462
Americas: South	Argentina	26,891
	Cerro Negro	26,891
	Suriname	25,916
	Merian	25,916
	Peru	99,420
	Yanacocha	99,420
Australia	Australia	14,037
	Boddington	9,642
	Tanami	4,395
Global	Total	391,416

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some figures might not add up correctly due to rounding.

#### CYANIDE MANAGEMENT

## Estimated cyanide use: Trailing five years (thousand tonnes)1

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Cyanide use	57.8	68.6	59.1	47.3	45.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cyanide usage data is estimated based on annual procurement data recorded in Newmont's accounting system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosure MM1: Amount of land (owned or leased, and managed for production activities or extractive use) disturbed or rehabilitated.

## Cyanide-related incidents: Site level (number of incidents)

	Country/site	Release off site requires response or remediation	Adverse effects on human health¹	Adverse effects to the environment	Required reporting under applicable regulations	Exceedances of applicable limits of Cyanide Code	Impact to biodiversity <sup>2</sup>	Site has an ICMC certification¹
Africa	Ghana	0	0	0	2	2	0	
	Ahafo	0	0	0	2	2	0	Yes
	Akyem	0	0	0	0	0	0	Yes
Americas: North	U.S.	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	CC&V	0	0	0	1	0	0	Yes
	Canada	0	0	0	1	1	0	
	Éléonore	0	0	0	0	0	0	Yes
	Musselwhite	0	0	0	0	1	0	Yes
	Porcupine	0	0	0	1	0	0	Yes
	Mexico	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	Peñasquito	0	0	0	0	1	0	Yes
Americas: South	Argentina	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Cerro Negro	0	0	0	0	0	0	Yes
	Suriname	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Merian	0	0	0	0	0	0	Yes
	Peru	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Yanacocha	0	0	0	0	0	0	Yes
Australia	Australia	0	0	0	1	5	0	
	Boddington	0	0	0	0	1	0	Yes
	Tanami	0	0	0	1	4	0	Yes
Global	Total	0	0	0	5	9	0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> International Cyanide Management Code (ICMC) certification is publicly available at: https://www.cyanidecode.org/signatory-company-categories/newmont-corporation-united-states.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cyanide-related biodiversity impacts are wildlife fatalities; for a full listing of wildlife fatalities, refer to the Biodiversity wildlife mortality table.

## SIGNIFICANT MATERIALS CONSUMPTION

Estimated materials usage: Site level<sup>2</sup>

	Country/site	Grinding media	Sodium cyanide (NaCN)¹	Lime	Cement	Tires (by weight)	Lubricants	Hydrochloric acid (HCL)	Sulfuric acid (H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )	Nitric acid (HNO <sub>3</sub> )		
Units	of measure		thousand tonnes					thousand kiloliters				
Africa	Ghana	21.60	3.84	21.57	1.05	1.13	1.93	1.49	0	0		
	Ahafo	12.72	1.95	11.65	1.05	0.70	1.37	0.68	0	0		
	Akyem	8.88	1.89	9.92	0	0.43	0.56	0.82	0	0		
Americas: North	U.S.	0.59	4.24	99.59	0	0.54	0.69	1.53	0	0		
	CC&V	0.59	4.24	99.59	0	0.54	0.69	1.53	0	0		
	Canada	5.25	1.71	5.66	37.34	1.59	1.14	0	0	0.02		
	Éléonore	2.35	0.71	1.97	26.39	0.28	0.31	0	0	0.02		
	Musselwhite	0.75	0.27	0.46	0.33	0.20	0.19	0	0	0		
	Porcupine	2.15	0.74	3.24	10.62	1.12	0.63	0	0	0		
	Mexico	8.20	9.32	16.34	0	2.26	1.54	0	0	0		
	Peñasquito	8.20	9.32	16.34	0	2.26	1.54	0	0	0		
Americas: South	Argentina	1.20	0.59	0.19	7.08	0.07	0.22	0	0	0		
	Cerro Negro	1.20	0.59	0.19	7.08	0.07	0.22	0	0	0		
	Suriname	7.02	3.22	16.24	0	0.95	0.88	2.88	0	0		
	Merian	7.02	3.22	16.24	0	0.95	0.88	2.88	0	0		
	Peru	9.09	12.47	117.23	0	0.51	1.32	1.40	3.88	0		
	Yanacocha	9.09	12.47	117.23	0	0.51	1.32	1.40	3.88	0		
Australia	Australia	14.87	9.99	23.01	7.33	1.74	2.34	2.26	20.60	0		
	Boddington	13.44	9.06	21.40	0	1.44	1.94	2.01	18.84	0		
	Tanami	1.44	0.93	1.61	7.33	0.29	0.40	0.26	1.76	0		
Global	Total	67.82	45.38	299.83	52.81	8.79	10.05	9.57	24.49	0.02		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sodium cyanide quantities vary each year due to mineral variations in our ore bodies as well as processing variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 301-1: Materials used by weight or volume. Materials consumption data is estimated based on annual procurement data recorded in Newmont's accounting system.

## Estimated materials usage: Trailing five years1,4

	Units	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Grinding media	thousand tonnes	83.20	97.90	103.10	84.17	67.82
Sodium cyanide <sup>2</sup>	thousand tonnes	57.80	68.60	59.10	47.26	45.38
Lime	thousand tonnes	513.10	513.50	473.80	285.06	299.83
Cement	thousand tonnes	65.10	71.20	78.10	63.12	52.81
Tires (by weight)	thousand tonnes	9.20	10.00	8.10	10.34	8.79
Lubricants	thousand kL	12.50	12.10	12.30	16.38	10.05
Hydrochloric acid (HCL)	thousand kL	7.00	12.10	10.70	41.54	9.57
Sulfuric acid (H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )	thousand kL	78.00	78.70	81.40	24.46	24.49
Nitric acid (HNO <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>3</sup>	thousand kL	N/R	N/R	N/R	0.24	0.02

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2019 data omits Australia KCGM site data; trailing 2016–2018 data reflects former Newmont sites only.

#### **SPILLS AND RELEASES**

### Environmental releases by number of incidents: Trailing five years<sup>1, 2</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Total environmental releases on site	81	78	50	647	427
Total environmental releases off site	0	1	0	3	7
Total environmental releases	81	79	0	650	434
Cyanide releases	77	77	2	34	28
Mercury releases	2	0	0	0	0
Significant hydrocarbon releases	1	0	0	4	0
Significant chemical releases	0	2	0	0	8
Other significant releases	1	0	0	4	2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There were five immaterial spills, which were removed from the data set.

## Estimated environmental releases by volume: Trailing five years<sup>2</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Cyanide releases (kL) <sup>1</sup>	2,500	3,406	5,768	4,745	17,447
Mercury releases (kg)	1.7	0	0	0	0
Significant hydrocarbon releases (kL)	2	0	0	1	0
Significant chemical releases (kL)	0	0	0	0	18
Other significant releases (kL)	31	0	0	46	38

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This includes cyanide in slurry and tailings and cyanide in process solutions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sodium cyanide quantities vary each year due to mineral variations in our ore bodies as well as processing variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Nitric acid usage was not reported ('N/R') 2016–2018; this data will be reported from 2019 onwards on an annual basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 301-1: Materials used by weight or volume. Materials consumption data is estimated based on annual procurement data recorded in Newmont's accounting system; 2019 data includes former Goldcorp sites April 18 - Dec. 31, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 306-3: Significant spills.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 306-3: Significant spills. Data is normalized using global unit of measure conversion formulas and estimated concentration calculations based on data reported in internal reporting systems.

#### **TAILINGS MANAGEMENT**

### Tailings generated and managed (metric tons)<sup>1</sup>

		M	anagement method		
				Sub-surface	Total produced
	Country/site	Surface tailings	Open pit tailings	tailings	and managed
Africa	Ghana	17,984,815	0	0	17,984,815
	Ahafo	9,569,103	0	0	9,569,103
	Akyem	8,415,712	0	0	8,415,712
Americas:	U.S.	1,658,790	0	0	1,658,790
North	CC&V	1,658,790	0	0	1,658,790
	Canada	5,208,995	0	595,138	5,804,133
	Éléonore	869,953	0	595,138	1,465,091
	Musselwhite	752,073	0	0	752,073
	Porcupine	3,586,969	0	0	3,586,969
	Mexico	28,023,144	0	0	28,023,144
	Peñasquito	28,023,144	0	0	28,023,144
Americas:	Argentina	607,945	0	0	607,945
South	Cerro Negro	607,945	0	0	607,945
	Suriname	15,123,620	0	0	15,123,620
	Merian	15,123,620	0	0	15,123,620
	Peru	4,081,607	0	0	4,081,607
	Yanacocha	4,081,607	0	0	4,081,607
Australia	Australia	42,113,674	275,163	562,681	42,951,518
	Boddington	40,288,195	0	0	40,288,195
	Tanami	1,825,479	275,163	562,681	2,663,323
Global	All sites	114,802,590	275,163	1,157,819	116,235,572
			<u> </u>		• •

<sup>1</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosure MM3: Total Amounts of overburden, rock, tailings, and sludges and their associated risks; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-150a.1: Total weight of tailings waste, percentage recycled.

### Total waste rock/tailings generated: Trailing five years (million tonnes)1

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Total waste rock generated	335.9	346.0	299.7	320.6	284.9
Total tailings produced and managed	96.8	110.9	112.1	120.7	116.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosure MM3: Total Amounts of overburden, rock, tailings, and sludges and their associated risks; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-150a.1: Total weight of tailings waste, percentage recycled.

### Tailings inventory and disclosures<sup>1, 2</sup>

Church of England disclosures: Newmont developed a disclosure in response to the Church of England's April 10, 2019 request for information concerning tailings management. This disclosure provides Newmont's approach to tailings; communications and risk management; a description of updates to our approach following recent disasters; and an inventory of tailings facilities for our operating sites, joint ventures, subsidiaries, and legacy sites as of July 1, 2019. Full disclosure available.

GISTM (Global Industry Standard on Tailings Management): Newmont provided a response to a request on December 17, 2020, from the Church of England Pensions Board, Principles for Responsible Investment, and Swedish Council on Ethics for AP Public Pension Funds regarding Newmont's commitment to implementing the GISTM.

Global Tailings Inventory: Newmont provides a full disclosure of tailings facilities, classifications, location, status, construction type and detailed data sets, which are periodically updated and available for download.

<sup>1</sup> Church of England Pensions Board and Swedish Council on Ethics for the AP Public Pension Fund – Tailings Management Approach and Inventory Disclosure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosure MM3: Total Amounts of overburden, rock, tailings, and sludges and their associated risks; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-150a.3: Number of tailings impoundments, broken down by Hazard Potential.

#### **WATER STEWARDSHIP**

### Water summary by site (thousand kL)<sup>3</sup>

	Country/site	Total water withdrawn	Total water discharged¹	Total water consumed (withdrawn minus total discharged)	Total water recycled	Total water used (consumed + recycled)	Percent recycled (total water recycled/ total water used)	2020 Water intensity (GEO)²	2020 Water intensity (tonnes of ore processed) <sup>2</sup>
Africa	Ghana	21,866	5,186	16,681	19,101	35,781	53.4%	19.6	0.8
	Ahafo	10,183	2,990	7,193	10,248	17,441	58.8%	15.0	0.6
	Akyem	11,684	2,196	9,488	8,853	18,341	48.3%	25.6	1.1
Americas: North	U.S.	4,109	1,977	2,132	53,593	55,725	96.2%	7.8	1.1
	CC&V	4,109	1,977	2,132	53,593	55,725	96.2%	7.8	1.1
	Canada	18,211	12,322	5,889	12,638	18,527	68.2%	9.5	0.8
	Éléonore	3,823	3,019	804	3,407	4,211	80.9%	4.0	0.3
	Musselwhite	1,971	1,895	76	1,043	1,119	93.2%	0.8	0.1
	Porcupine	12,416	7,408	5,008	8,188	13,196	62.0%	15.7	1.2
	Mexico	27,890	1,829	26,061	49,958	76,019	65.7%	18.4	0.6
	Peñasquito	27,890	1,829	26,061	49,958	76,019	65.7%	18.4	0.6
Americas: South	Argentina	592	18	574	696	1,270	54.8%	2.7	0.4
	Cerro Negro	592	18	574	696	1,270	54.8%	2.7	0.4
	Suriname	40,272	26,632	13,640	14,169	27,809	51.0%	29.6	1.0
	Merian	40,272	26,632	13,640	14,169	27,809	51.0%	29.6	1.0
	Peru	48,938	36,734	12,204	47,837	60,041	79.7%	35.9	2.3
	Yanacocha	48,938	36,734	12,204	47,837	60,041	79.7%	35.9	2.3
Australia	Australia	28,494	4,133	24,361	59,571	88,036	71.0%	18.8	0.5
	Boddington	24,808	4,104	20,704	47,396	72,204	65.6%	25.9	0.5
	Tanami	3,686	29	3,657	12,175	15,832	76.9%	7.4	1.3
Global	Total	190,371	88,830	101,541	257,563	363,208	70.9%	18.6	0.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Discharge includes seepage that is not captured.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Water intensity figures: GEO (gold equivalent ounces) includes total produced and co-product GEO as originally defined in the Newmont December 2020 Financial Summary results and then adjusted to match the reporting boundary of the 2020 Annual Sustainability Report. The tonnes of ore produced is based on the operational statistics, publicly available in our 10-K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 303-3: Water withdrawal; GRI 303-4: Water discharge; GRI 303-5: Water consumption; and SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-140a.1: Total freshwater withdrawn, consumed, percentage of each in high or extremely high baseline water stress.

## Total water withdrawal and discharge by category and site<sup>1, 2</sup>

	_		Total water with	drawn			Total water disc	narged	
	Country/site	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Total	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	Total
Africa	Ghana	18,249	285	3,332	21,866	3,546	1,640	0	5,186
	Ahafo	6,850	0	3,332	10,183	2,990	0	0	2,990
	Akyem	11,399	285	0	11,684	556	1,640	0	2,196
Americas: North	U.S.	4,109	0	0	4,109	39	0	1,938	1,977
	CC&V	4,109	0	0	4,109	39	0	1,938	1,977
	Canada	6,038	1,989	10,184	18,210	0	4,868	7,454	12,322
	Éléonore	593	0	3,230	3,823	0	2,973	46	3,019
	Musselwhite	270	1,702	0	1,971	0	1,895	0	1,895
	Porcupine	5,175	287	6,954	12,416	0	0	7,408	7,408
	Mexico	2,487	0	25,402	27,890	183	0	1,646	1,829
	Peñasquito	2,487	0	25,402	27,890	183	0	1,646	1,829
Americas: South	Argentina	534	58	0	592	0	0	18	18
	Cerro Negro	534	58	0	592	0	0	18	18
	Suriname	2,085	38,187	0	40,272	0	26,632	0	26,632
	Merian	2,085	38,187	0	40,272	0	26,632	0	26,632
	Peru	28,156	1,550	19,232	48,938	14,053	17,153	5,528	36,734
	Yanacocha	28,156	1,550	19,232	48,938	14,053	17,153	5,528	36,734
Australia	Australia	8,560	6,373	13,561	28,494	0	0	4,133	4,133
	Boddington	8,404	4,405	11,999	24,808	0	0	4,104	4,104
	Tanami	156	1,968	1,562	3,686	0	0	29	29
Global	Total	70,219	48,440	71,712	190,371	17,821	50,292	20,717	88,830

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Water quality categories are based on the Minerals Council of Australia definitions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 303-3: Water withdrawal; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-140a.1: (1) Total fresh water withdrawn.

## Total treated water by destination and site (thousand kL)<sup>1,4</sup>

	Country/site	Treated discharge by other	Treated discharge by process water treatment	Treated discharge by reverse osmosis (RO) or acid water treatment <sup>2, 3</sup>	Treated discharge by sewage treatment	Total treated water discharge
Africa	Ghana	4,620	0	0	0	4,620
	Ahafo	2,990	0	0	0	2,990
	Akyem	1,630	0	0	0	1,630
Americas: North	U.S.	1,982	0	0	0	1,982
	CC&V	1,982	0	0	0	1,982
	Canada	7,037	0	0	0	7,037
	Éléonore	3,019	0	0	0	3,019
	Musselwhite	1,895	0	0	0	1,895
	Porcupine	2,123	0	0	0	2,123
	Mexico	260	0	0	0	260
	Peñasquito	260	0	0	0	260
Americas: South	Argentina	0	0	0	0	0
	Cerro Negro	0	0	0	0	0
	Suriname	26,596	0	0	0	26,596
	Merian	26,596	0	0	0	26,596
	Peru	0	0	31,206	0	31,206
	Yanacocha	0	0	31,206	0	31,206
Australia	Australia	0	0	0	0	0
	Boddington	0	0	0	0	0
	Tanami	0	0	0	0	0
Global	Total	40,495	0	31,206	0	71,701

SOCIAL

<sup>1</sup> Untreated discharge to surface water includes CC&V, Ahafo and Porcupine sites. Treated discharge to surface water includes Yanacocha and Red Lake sites. Treated discharge by other includes Merian, Musselwhite, Éléonore and Porcupine sites. Treated discharge by RO includes Yanacocha and Ahafo sites. Treated discharge by other (groundwater reinjection and supply provided to communities) includes Akyem and Penasquito sites. Untreated to groundwater includes KCGM site as well as untreated to third parties. Treated effluent (mining and domestic sewage) for Éléonore site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This number went up between 2019 and 2020 because seepage is now included. Seepage that impacts water quality is managed in our site water management plans.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We increased the amount of reverse osmosis occurring at a few of our sites. We have combined our acid water treatment and reverse osmosis. Our RO has increased and we have combined acid water treatment and RO into one category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 303-2: Water discharge; and GRI 306-1: Water discharge by quality and destination.

## Total untreated water by destination and site (thousand kL)<sup>1</sup>

	Country/site	Untreated discharge to external organizations for reuse	Untreated discharge to groundwater	Untreated discharge to sewers	Untreated discharge to surface water	Untreated discharge to ocean	Total untreated water discharge
Africa	Ghana	0	566	0	0	0	566
	Ahafo	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Akyem	0	566	0	0	0	566
Americas: North	U.S.	0	-5	0	0	0	-5
	CC&V	0	-5	0	0	0	-5
	Canada	0	0	0	5,285	0	5,285
	Éléonore	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Musselwhite	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Porcupine	0	0	0	5,285	0	5,285
	Mexico	0	1,569	0	0	0	1,569
	Peñasquito	0	1,569	0	0	0	1,569
Americas: South	Argentina	0	18	0	0	0	18
	Cerro Negro	0	18	0	0	0	18
	Suriname	0	36	0	0	0	36
	Merian	0	36	0	0	0	36
	Peru	0	5,528	0	0	0	5,528
	Yanacocha	0	5,528	0	0	0	5,528
Australia	Australia	0	4,133	0	0	0	4,133
	Boddington	0	4,104	0	0	0	4,104
	Tanami	0	29	0	0	0	29
Global	Total	0	11,845	0	5,285	0	17,130

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 303-2: Water discharge; and GRI 306-1: Water discharge by quality and destination.

## Water withdrawal by site (thousand kL)<sup>3</sup>

	Country/site	Surface water	Groundwater¹	Precipitation <sup>2</sup>	Municipal water	Total water withdrawn
Africa	Ghana	0	4,668	17,198	0	21,866
	Ahafo	0	3,332	6,850	0	10,183
	Akyem	0	1,336	10,348	0	11,684
Americas: North	U.S.	0	1,345	998	1,766	4,109
	CC&V	0	1,345	998	1,766	4,109
	Canada	2,882	9,353	5,919	57.6	18,211
	Éléonore	0	3,278	545	0.1	3,823
	Musselwhite	372	1,330	270	0	1,971
	Porcupine	2,510	4,745	5,104	57.5	12,416
	Mexico	0	25,402	2,486	1.2	27,890
	Peñasquito	0	25,402	2,486	1.2	27,890
Americas: South	Argentina	8	539	44	1	592
	Cerro Negro	8	539	44	1	592
	Suriname	0	5,492	34,780	0	40,272
	Merian	0	5,492	34,780	0	40,272
	Peru	0	20,782	28,156	0	48,938
	Yanacocha	0	20,782	28,156	0	48,938
Australia	Australia	10,341	8,289	9,781	83	28,494
	Boddington	10,341	5,138	9,246	83	24,808
	Tanami	0	3,151	535	0	3,686
Global	Total	13,230	75,871	99,362	1,909	190,371

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Groundwater does include entrainment in ore.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Precipitation volumes include runoff that is captured from rainfall on tailings storage facilities and heap leaches for all facilities except Yanacocha, Akyem and Boddington which also include runoff that is captured from waste rock piles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 303-3: Water withdrawal.

### Water summary: Trailing five years (thousand kL)<sup>1, 3</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Total water withdrawn	201,152	217,327	230,257	198,549	190,371
Surface water	14,595	8,285	5,458	12,132	13,230
Groundwater	111,420	110,701	115,238	75,458	75,871
Precipitation	70,800	94,216	106,003	107,288	99,362
Municipal water	4,337	4,126	3,557	3,671	1,909
Ocean water used for processing	0	0	0	0	0
Ocean water used for cooling	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total water consumed</b> (withdrawn minus discharged) <sup>2</sup>	107,586	115,747	104,816	106,413	101,541
Total water recycled	227,960	297,379	271,699	263,210	257,563
Total water used (consumed plus recycled)	335,546	413,126	376,515	369,623	359,104
<b>Percent recycled</b> (water recycled divided by water used)	68%	72%	72%	71%	71%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2016–2018 data has not been adjusted or backcast to reflect the current asset portfolio.

### Total water discharge by destination: Trailing five years (thousand kL)<sup>3</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Untreated discharge to external organizations for reuse	0	0	43	0	0
Untreated discharge to groundwater <sup>1</sup>	0	4,194	2,962	4,632	11,845
Untreated discharge to sewers	0	0	0	0	0
Untreated discharge to surface water	18,017	30,575	23,568	4,923	5,285
Untreated discharge to ocean	0	0	0	0	0
Total untreated water discharge	18,017	34,769	26,573	9,555	17,130
Treated discharge by other	35,858	27,795	60,247	47,425	40,495
Treated discharge by process water treatment	0	0	0	0	0
Treated discharge by reverse osmosis or acid water treatment <sup>2</sup>	39,691	39,016	38,621	35,099	31,206
Treated discharge by sewage treatment	0	0	0	58	0
Total treated discharge of water	75,549	66,811	98,868	82,581	71,701

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This number went up between 2019 and 2020 because seepage is now included. Seepage that impacts water quality is managed in our site water management plans.

## Consumptive water quality (ML)1,2,3

	Total	As % of total
Category 1	52,398	52%
Category 2	(1,852)	(2)%
Category 3	50,995	50%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Water quality categories are based on the Minerals Council of Australia definitions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Water consumption is defined by the GRI as water withdrawn minus water discharged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 303-3: Water withdrawals; GRI 303-4: Water discharge; and GRI 303-5: Water consumption; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-140a.1: (1) Total fresh water withdrawn, (2) total fresh water consumed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We increased the amount of reverse osmosis occurring at a few of our sites. We have combined our acid water treatment and reverse osmosis. Our RO has increased and we have combined acid water treatment and RO into one category.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 303-2: Water discharge; and GRI 306-1: Water discharge by quality and destination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Consumption for water quality Category 2 is negative as more Category 2 water is withdrawn, then returned to the system as a higher quality water,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 303-5: Water consumption.

#### Water balance<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Megaliters (ML)
Water withdrawn total <sup>3</sup>	190,371
Surface water withdrawn	13,230
Groundwater withdrawn	75,871
Precipitation water withdrawn	99,362
Third-party (municipal) water withdrawn <sup>1</sup>	1,909
Water recycled/reused <sup>4</sup>	257,563
Water consumed <sup>5</sup>	101,541
Water discharged total <sup>6</sup>	17,130
Surface water discharged	5,285
Groundwater discharged	11,845

<sup>1</sup> Several changes were made to our reporting in 2020 including adding entrainment in ore to our groundwater withdrawals and adding seepage (that is not captured) to discharge. Seepage that is impacting water quality is captured and returned to the system for use in operations, treated and discharged.

### Water consumed, recycled and percentage recycled: Trailing five years (thousand kL)<sup>1,4</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Water consumed <sup>2</sup>	107,585	115,747	104,816	106,413	101,541
Water recycled/reused <sup>3</sup>	227,960	297,379	271,699	263,210	257,563
Percent recycled/reused <sup>3</sup>	68%	72%	72%	71%	71%

¹ The 2019 data includes former Goldcorp sites (Cerro Negro, Éléonore, Musselwhite, Peñasquito, Porcupine and Red Lake) and omits Nevada operations. 2016–2018 data has not been adjusted to reflect the 2019 asset portfolio; when adjusting 2018 data to reflect the performance of our current operating portfolio (i.e., including former Goldcorp sites and excluding Nevada Gold Mines sites), water consumed was reduced by 12,979 ML, water recycled increased by 13,970 ML, and the percent recycled increased from 68 percent to 71 percent.

### Water intensity: Trailing five years<sup>1, 2, 4</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020³
kL/gold equivalent ounces (GEO)	19.00	18.60	17.30	16.80	18.55
kL/tonnes ore processed	N/R	N/R	N/R	0.63	0.73
kL/billions revenues	N/R	N/R	N/R	0.12	0.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2019 water intensity data includes former Goldcorp sites' full 2019 figures (rather than post-acquisition figures only) to provide greater year-overyear comparability. In addition to gold equivalent ounces, the methodology used to estimate comparable intensity-based revenues figures for pre-acquisition Goldcorp sites from Jan. 1 to April 17, 2019 includes adjustments to align former Goldcorp revenues with U.S. GAAP. Newmont began reporting multiple intensity-based denominators (GEO, ore processes and revenues) in 2019; prior years are not reported (N/R), but may be updated in future disclosures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Disclosure follows Mining Canada Association (MCA) Water Accounting Framework and site-wide water balance reporting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Water withdrawn is defined as water that is received, extracted or managed by an operation; designated by type — surface water, groundwater, third-party (municipal) or seawater.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Water recycled/reused is defined as water that is reused or recycled within the site for operational use.

<sup>5</sup> Water consumed is defined as water that is no longer available for use. The water consumed data in this table reflects the GRI definition for water consumption (withdrawal minus consumption); ICMM definition for water consumption is defined as evaporation plus entrainment (tailings/waste) plus other losses for operational use. Under the ICMM definition, our water consumption is 165,435 megaliters.

<sup>6</sup> Water discharged is defined as water removed from the operation and returned to the environment; discharged water includes treated and untreated water as well as water that is provided to third parties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Water consumption is defined by GRI as water withdrawn minus water discharged. The ICMM definition for water consumption is water evaporated plus water entrained plus other water losses. For 2019, the total consumption based on this definition is 165,435 ML.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Water recycled/reused is defined as water that is reused or recycled within the site for operational use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 303-5: Water consumption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2016–2018 data has not been adjusted or backcast to reflect the current asset portfolio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Our intensity was higher in 2020 due to a lower production denominator due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 303-5: Water consumption.

### Water-related risk by site

Site	Climate conditions	Water sources¹	Risk: Water stress²	Risk: Water quality	Risk: Excess water	Risk: Watershed challenges³
Ahafo	Humid	SW, GW		X	X	Χ
Akyem	Humid	GW		X	X	Χ
Boddington <sup>2</sup>	Semi-arid	SW, GW				
Tanami	Arid	GW	Х			
CC&V	Moderate precipitation	MW	Х			
Éléonore	Low to moderate precipitation	GW			X	
Musselwhite	Moderate precipitation	SW, GW		Х		
Peñasquito	Arid	GW	Х			Х
Porcupine <sup>4</sup>	Moderate precipitation	GW, MW		Х		Х
Cerro Negro	Arid	GW	Х			Х
Merian	Moderate precipitation	GW		Х	Х	Х
Yanacocha⁵	Moderate precipitation with a distinct dry season	GW		Х	х	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Water sources are defined as groundwater (GW), surface water (SW), and municipal/third-party water (MW).

## Consumption by ICMM water quality categories (high/low)<sup>1, 2</sup>

	20	2019		2020		
Category	Consumption	Percentage	Consumption	Percentage		
High	47,246	44%	50,546	50%		
Low	59,260	56%	50,995	50%		
Total	106,506		101,540			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Category high includes WAF Category 1 and 2.

### Water-stressed sites water summary (thousand kL)

Site	Total water consumed (withdrawn minus total discharged)	Total water recycled	Total water used (consumed + recycled)	Percent recycled (total water recycled/total water used)	2020 Water intensity – GEO	2020 Water intensity – tonnes of ore processed
CC&V	2,132	53,593	55,725	96.2%	7.8	1.1
Peñasquito	26,061	49,958	76,019	65.7%	18.4	0.6
Cerro Negro	574	696	1,270	54.8%	2.7	0.4
Tanami	3,657	12,175	15,832	76.9%	7.4	1.3
Total	32,424	116,422	148,846	78.2%	13.5	0.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Water stress is considered the baseline water stress as defined by the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Water tool and/ or the World Resources Institute (WRI) Aqueduct tool. The tools do not incorporate factors such as interannual variability in their assessments of water stress. For example, interannual variability for parts of Australia, South America and North America can also increase the risk of water stress; Boddington can have years of baseline water stress or excess water in wet years that may not be reflected in the WBCSD/WRI water stress assessments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A 2018–2019 collaborative initiative between Newmont and WRI evaluated watershed stewardship challenges to identify watersheds where Newmont operates that have minimal or no multi-stakeholder watershed-level collaboration and/or governance in place.

<sup>4</sup> Porcupine, as well as other sites in high rainfall areas, may have an excess water risk depending on rainfall that the site receives in an annual period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> There are challenges in regulations and management of water at the watershed level for Yanacocha, but this is not designated as a Watershed Challenge because the site follows a fairly robust regulatory framework that identifies the water users and water usage (by beneficial use) for each of the watersheds in which we operate. We continue to manage our water quality and quantity and work closely with stakeholders to manage expectations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Category low includes WAF Category 3.

SOCIAL

#### **WASTE**

## Estimated site-level waste rock generated by type (metric tons)<sup>2</sup>

	Country/site	Acid generating/ metal leaching	Non-acid generating/non- metal leaching	Total waste rock brought to surface	Total waste rock generated
Africa	Ghana	0	53,291,615	53,291,615	53,291,615
	Ahafo	0	32,201,489	32,201,489	32,201,489
	Akyem	0	21,090,126	21,090,126	21,090,126
Americas: North	U.S.	16,902,721	0	16,902,721	16,902,721
	CC&V	16,902,721	0	16,902,721	16,902,721
	Canada	406,579	6,253,133	6,662,696	7,041,882
	Éléonore	268,986	0	268,986	459,126
	Musselwhite	137,593	74,192	211,785	403,815
	Porcupine	0	6,178,941	6,181,925	6,178,941
	Mexico	11,383,555	77,369,074	88,752,629	88,752,629
	Peñasquito	11,383,555	77,369,074	88,752,629	88,752,629
Americas: South	Argentina	0	6,869	6,869	137,390
	Cerro Negro	0	6,869	6,869	137,390
	Suriname	0	35,274,254	35,274,254	35,274,254
	Merian	0	35,274,254	35,274,254	35,274,254
	Peru	22,543,962	11,452,209	33,996,171	33,996,171
	Yanacocha	22,543,962	11,452,209	33,996,171	33,996,171
Australia	Australia	31,250,995	18,264,564	48,692,304	49,515,559
	Boddington	30,898,000	17,609,000	48,507,000	48,507,000
	Tanami <sup>1</sup>	352,995	655,564	185,304	1,008,559
Global	Total	82,487,812	201,911,718	283,579,259	284,912,221

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Every site is required to do acid-based accounting to check the acid generating potential and neutralizing potential of the rock. Tanami is an underground operation so not all of the waste rock of which acid generating potential is known is brought to the surface.

## Estimated site-level other waste (metric tons)

	Country/site	Sludges or other process residues	Hydrocarbon- contaminated waste rock
Africa	Ghana	1,620	133
	Ahafo	N/R	2
	Akyem	1,620	131
Americas: North	U.S.	0	0
	CC&V	0	0
	Canada	20,582	0
	Éléonore	20,509	0
	Musselwhite	73	0
	Porcupine	0	0
	Mexico	0	18
	Peñasquito	0	18
Americas: South	Argentina	0	0
	Cerro Negro	0	0
	Suriname	19	0
	Merian	19	0
	Peru	N/R	0
	Yanacocha	N/R	0
Australia	Australia	18,497	7,080
	Boddington	1,065	7,080
	Tanami <sup>2</sup>	17,432	N/A
Global	Total	40,718	7,231

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 306-2: Waste by type and disposal method; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-150a.2: Total weight of mineral processing waste.

### Estimated site-level mercury waste by site and type (metric tons)<sup>1,2</sup>

					Mercury-contaminated	Other mercury-related	
	Country	Elemental mercury	Mercuric chloride	Mercury-tainted carbon	sludge	waste	Total
Africa	Ghana	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Ahafo	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Akyem	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Americas: North	U.S.	0.02	0.00	1.72	0.00	0.01	1.76
	CC&V	0.02	0.00	1.72	0.00	0.01	1.76
	Canada	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.30
	Éléonore	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.30
	Musselwhite	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Porcupine	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Mexico	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.80
	Peñasquito	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.80
Americas: South	Argentina	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.46	0.88
	Cerro Negro	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.46	0.88
	Suriname	0.00	0.00	18.00	0.00	0.05	18.05
	Merian	0.00	0.00	18.00	0.00	0.05	18.05
	Peru	2.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.88
	Yanacocha	2.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.88
Australia	Australia	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	De minimis	0.00
	Boddington	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Tanami	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	De minimis	0.00
Global	Total	2.90	0.00	20.14	0.00	1.62	24.67

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Newmont does not use mercury to process ore; however, some naturally occurring mercury exists in some ore bodies and is disposed of according to laws and regulations; this data is considered mineral processing waste for reporting purposes. Former Goldcorp sites omit data for mercuric chloride, mercury-tainted carbon, mercury-contaminated sludge, and other mercury-related wastes, shown as N/R (not reported); these data will be reported in future years as reporting systems and standards are fully integrated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 306-2: Waste by type and disposal method; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-150a.2: Total weight of mineral processing waste. Newmont does not use mercury to process ore; however, some naturally occurring mercury exists in some ore bodies and is reported as mineral processing waste for purposes of aligning to SASB reporting standards for EM-MM-150a.2. Data table corrected 10 June 2020; original unit of measure was erroneously stated as million metric tons; figures in this table are metric tons.

## Estimated site-level hazardous waste diverted from disposal (metric tons)<sup>1</sup>

		Reused		Recyc	led	Recove		
	Country/site	On-site recovery	Off-site recovery	On-site recovery	Off-site recovery	On-site recovery	Off-site recovery	Total
Africa	Ghana	0.00	143.80	163.94	193.30	0.00	0.00	501.04
	Ahafo	0.00	0.00	163.94	193.30	0.00	0.00	357.24
	Akyem	0.00	143.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	143.80
Americas: North	U.S.	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.02	0.00	0.00	6.02
	CC&V	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.02	0.00	0.00	6.02
	Canada	27.90	3.20	0.00	247.30	0.00	117.50	395.90
	Éléonore	27.90	0.00	0.00	26.80	0.00	117.50	172.20
	Musselwhite	0.00	3.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.20
	Porcupine	0.00	0.00	0.00	220.50	0.00	0.00	220.50
	Mexico	506.00	0.00	0.00	297.10	0.00	0.00	803.10
	Peñasquito	506.00	0.00	0.00	297.10	0.00	0.00	803.10
Americas: South	Argentina	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Cerro Negro	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Suriname	0.00	0.00	0.00	443.96	0.00	0.00	443.96
	Merian	0.00	0.00	0.00	443.96	0.00	0.00	443.96
	Peru	187.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	187.34
	Yanacocha	187.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	187.34
Australia	Australia	3,198.66	25.41	0.00	6,133.51	0.00	0.00	9,357.58
	Boddington	3,198.66	25.41	0.00	5,607.22	0.00	0.00	8,831.28
	Tanami	0.00	0.00	0.00	526.30	0.00	0.00	526.30
Global	Total	3,919.90	172.41	163.94	7,321.19	0.00	117.50	11,694.94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 306-4: Waste diverted from disposal.

## Estimated site-level non-hazardous waste diverted from disposal (metric tons)<sup>1</sup>

		Reused		Recycl	ed	Recove	Recovered		
	Country/site	On-site recovery	Off-site recovery	On-site recovery	Off-site recovery	On-site recovery	Off-site recovery	Total	
Africa	Ghana	0.00	2,021.85	7.66	3,651.77	0.00	0.00	5,681.28	
	Ahafo	0.00	19.29	7.66	3,651.77	0.00	0.00	3,678.72	
	Akyem	0.00	2,002.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,002.56	
Americas: North	U.S.	377.54	21.20	0.00	186.61	50.14	0.00	635.49	
	CC&V	377.54	21.20	0.00	186.61	50.14	0.00	635.49	
	Canada	40.00	74.30	9.50	4,223.20	327.60	0.00	4,674.60	
	Éléonore	0.00	69.20	9.50	1,675.50	327.60	0.00	2,081.80	
	Musselwhite	0.00	0.00	0.00	92.50	0.00	0.00	92.50	
	Porcupine	40.00	5.10	0.00	2,455.20	0.00	0.00	2,500.30	
	Mexico	374.60	0.00	0.00	8,668.30	0.00	0.00	9,042.90	
	Peñasquito	374.60	0.00	0.00	8,668.30	0.00	0.00	9,042.90	
Americas: South	Argentina	0.00	0.00	19.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.94	
	Cerro Negro	0.00	0.00	19.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.94	
	Suriname	0.00	0.00	13.30	525.68	0.00	0.00	538.98	
	Merian	0.00	0.00	13.30	525.68	0.00	0.00	538.98	
	Peru	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,795.43	0.00	0.00	1,795.43	
	Yanacocha	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,795.43	0.00	0.00	1,795.43	
Australia	Australia	0.00	0.00	0.15	5,414.59	0.00	0.00	5,414.74	
	Boddington	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,414.59	0.00	0.00	5,414.59	
	Tanami	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	
Global	Total	792.14	2,117.35	50.54	24,465.58	377.74	0.00	27,803.35	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 306-4: Waste diverted from disposal.

## Estimated site-level hazardous waste disposed (metric tons)<sup>1</sup>

		Incinerated energy reco	-	Incinerated ( energy rec		Deep well i	njected	Landfi	lled	Othe	r	
	Country/site	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	Total
Africa	Ghana	0.00	0.00	19.30	15.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.97	0.00	2.27	43.58
	Ahafo	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.27	17.31
	Akyem	0.00	0.00	19.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.97	0.00	0.00	26.27
Americas: North	U.S.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.86	0.00	0.02	60.08
	CC&V	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.86	0.00	0.02	60.08
	Canada	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,419.90	7.90	0.00	1,427.80
	Éléonore	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	177.90	6.40	0.00	184.30
	Musselwhite	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.00	1.50
	Porcupine	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,242.00	0.00	0.00	1,242.00
	Mexico	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,336.80	140.70	0.00	1,477.50
	Peñasquito	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,336.80	140.70	0.00	1,477.50
Americas: South	Argentina	0.00	314.98	0.00	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	315.66
	Cerro Negro	0.00	314.98	0.00	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	315.66
	Suriname	0.00	0.00	408.60	94.43	0.00	0.00	62.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	565.75
	Merian	0.00	0.00	408.60	94.43	0.00	0.00	62.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	565.75
	Peru	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	546.46	0.00	0.00	546.46
	Yanacocha	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	546.46	0.00	0.00	546.46
Australia	Australia	0.00	0.00	30.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	302.22	2,202.15	86.17	2,621.47
	Boddington	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	302.22	2,202.15	86.17	2,591.21
	Tanami	0.00	0.00	30.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.26
Global	Total	0.00	314.98	458.83	110.36	0.00	0.00	62.72	3,672.21	2,350.75	88.46	7,058.30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 306-5: Waste directed to disposal.

## Estimated site-level non-hazardous waste disposed (metric tons)<sup>1</sup>

		Incinerated energy reco		Incinerated ( energy reco		Deep well i	njected	Landfi	lled	Othe	er	
	Country/site	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	On-site disposal	Off-site disposal	Total
Africa	Ghana	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	572.38	0.00	308.91	0.00	881.29
	Ahafo	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	521.74	0.00	308.91	0.00	830.65
	Akyem	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.64
Americas: North	U.S.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.10	0.00	0.00	3.12
	CC&V	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.10	0.00	0.00	3.12
	Canada	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,407.80	850.90	0.00	0.00	3,258.70
	Éléonore	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,395.90	7.10	0.00	0.00	1,403.00
	Musselwhite	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Porcupine	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,011.90	843.80	0.00	0.00	1,855.70
	Mexico	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,580.00	0.00	531.50	154.40	2,265.90
	Peñasquito	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,580.00	0.00	531.50	154.40	2,265.90
Americas: South	Argentina	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	362.68	441.76	4.02	0.00	808.46
	Cerro Negro	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	362.68	441.76	4.02	0.00	808.46
	Suriname	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,442.92	1.50	0.00	0.00	3,444.42
	Merian	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,442.92	1.50	0.00	0.00	3,444.42
	Peru	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,174.63	0.00	0.00	1,174.63
	Yanacocha	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,174.63	0.00	0.00	1,174.63
Australia	Australia	0.00	0.00	4,784.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,221.52	303.52	1,620.00	0.00	12,929.44
	Boddington	0.00	0.00	575.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	861.87	303.52	1,620.00	0.00	3,360.79
	Tanami	0.00	0.00	4,209.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,359.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	9,568.65
Global	Total	0.00	0.00	4,784.40	0.02	0.00	0.00	14,587.30	2,775.41	2,464.43	154.40	24,765.95

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 306-5: Waste directed to disposal.

## Estimated waste generated by type: Trailing five years<sup>1, 2</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Total waste rock generated (million tonnes)	335.9	346.0	299.7	320.6	284.9
Total waste rock brought to surface (million tonnes)	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	283.6
Total tailings generated (million tonnes)	96.8	110.9	112.1	120.7	116.2
Total hazardous waste generated (thousand tonnes)	12.0	12.2	18.4	32.1	18.8
Total non-hazardous waste generated (thousand tonnes)	34.8	38.1	66.9	66.6	52.6
Elemental mercury (Hg)	19.0	26.6	26.9	7.6	24.7

<sup>1</sup> For a 2020 site-level breakout of tailings, reference the 2020 Site-level tailings by type data table in the Tailings Management section of the Performance Data tables.

# Health & Safety:

#### **COVID-19 H&S STATS**

#### COVID-19 cases at Newmont sites<sup>1</sup>

	Total cases	
	(employees and contractors)	Total tests
Africa	87	246
Australia	0	697
North America	528	109,897
South America	1,274	78,462

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This table does not include COVID-19 related fatalities because they are not work-related.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 306-3: Waste generated; GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosure MM3: Total amounts of overburden, rock, tailings, and sludges and their associated risks; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-150a.1: Total weight of tailings waste; and EM-MM-150a.2: Total weight of mineral processing waste.

#### **EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS**

### Rapid Response performance data: Country level<sup>1</sup>

	Country <sup>2</sup>	Number of emergency response simulations and trainings³	Number of Rapid Response team members at this location
Africa	Ghana	0	121
Americas: North	U.S.	3	156
	Canada	2	136
	Mexico	0	45
Americas: South	Argentina	1	44
	Suriname	2	31
	Peru	1	68
	Chile	1	61
Australia	Australia	3	123
Other	Undefined	0	305
Global	Total	13	1,090

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, many response teams had an active emergency response all year long. As such, some leeway was given to the standard requirement that all teams conduct an annual exercise.

#### **WORKFORCE SAFETY STATS**

Employee-only safety rates: Trailing five years<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Fatalities	0	0	1	0	0
Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (LTIFR)	0.20	0.30	0.24	0.27	0.18
Total Recordable Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR)	0.44	0.49	0.36	0.41	0.30
Occupational Illness Frequency Rate (OIFR)	0.02	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.05

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OIFR rates include illnesses related to airborne agents as well as noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL), infectious diseases (such as malaria, tuberculosis and dengue fever) and musculoskeletal disorders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Non-mine sites are: Accra, Africa regional headquarters; Denver, Colorado (U.S.), corporate headquarters and metallurgy lab; Perth, Australia regional headquarters; Miami, South America regional office; Vancouver, North America regional office.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This does not include the site exercises to support emergency response related to our tailings storage facilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2019 data includes former Nevada assets from Jan. 1 to June 30, 2019 and includes all office, metallurgy laboratory, project and exploration sites. As we integrate reporting systems, Goldcorp data will be included in future data sets. We do not disaggregate safety data by gender. Beginning in 2017, we began reporting TRIFR and LTIFR data in alignment with ICMM guidelines. Data for 2016 has been restated to reflect this reclassification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 403-9: Work-related injuries; and GRI 403-2: Types of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and number of work-related fatalities.

LEADERSHIP MESSAGES COVID-19 OVERVIEW GOVERNANCE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE DATA REPORT FRAMEWORKS

## Employee-only safety data: Site level<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Exposure hours	Fatalities	Restricted work injuries	Medical treatment injuries	Lost day injuries	LTIFR (lost time)	TRIFR (total recordable)	Recordable illnesses	OIFR (occupational illness)
Africa	4,803,642	0	1	3	1	0.08	0.21	0	0.00
Accra – regional office	232,069	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Ahafo	2,618,182	0	0	1	0	0.00	0.08	0	0.00
Akyem	1,844,771	0	1	2	1	0.22	0.43	0	0.00
Exploration	57,981	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Ahafo North project	50,639	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Australia	4,145,627	0	4	3	4	0.39	0.53	7	0.34
Perth – regional office	303,874	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Boddington	2,113,119	0	0	2	4	0.38	0.57	4	0.38
Tanami	1,396,226	0	4	1	0	0.57	0.72	3	0.43
Exploration	277,058	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Projects	50,490	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Legacy sites	4,860	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
U.S.	2,026,339	0	2	2	1	0.30	0.49	1	0.10
Denver – headquarters	753,286	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Denver – metallurgy lab	115,034	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
CC&V	1,080,625	0	2	2	1	0.56	0.93	1	0.19
Miami – regional office	74,970	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Legacy sites	2,424	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Canada	3,939,363	0	0	4	3	0.15	0.36	0	0.00
Vancouver – regional office	630,630	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Éléonore	1,242,131	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Musselwhite	791,489	0	0	1	1	0.25	0.51	0	0.00
Porcupine	1,274,415	0	0	3	1	0.16	0.63	0	0.00
Legacy sites	698	0	0	0	1	286.53	286.53	0	0.00
Guatemala	79,127	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Legacy sites	79,127	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Mexico	4,862,678	0	0	2	7	0.29	0.37	0	0.00
Peñasquito	4,862,678	0	0	2	7	0.29	0.37	0	0.00
Legacy sites	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Argentina	3,008,601	0	0	1	1	0.07	0.13	0	0.00
Cerro Negro	3,008,601	0	0	1	1	0.07	0.13	0	0.00
Suriname	2,844,918	0	1	0	0	0.07	0.07	0	0.00
Merian	2,844,918	0	1	0	0	0.07	0.07	0	0.00
Peru	2,515,067	0	0	2	0	0.00	0.16	0	0.00
Yanacocha	2,515,067	0	0	2	0	0.00	0.16	0	0.00
North America	269,777	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Exploration	269,777	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
South America	649,990	0	0	0	1	0.31	0.31	0	0.00
Exploration	447,635	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Projects	202,355	0	0	0	1	0.99	0.99	0	0.00
Total	29,145,129	0	8	17	18	0.18	0.30	8	0.05

<sup>1 2019</sup> data includes former Nevada assets from Jan. 1 to June 30, 2019 and includes all office, metallurgy laboratory, project and exploration sites. We do not collect this data by gender. All data has been adjusted to reflect our reclassification of injuries and illnesses to align with ICMM guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 403-9: Work-related injuries; and GRI 403-2: Types of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and number of work-related fatalities.

### Contractor-only safety rates: Trailing five years1, 2, 3

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Fatalities	0	0	6	0	0
LTIFR	0.13	0.17	0.27	0.18	0.23
TRIFR	0.34	0.43	0.44	0.41	0.36
OIFR	0	0.01	0.11	0.06	0.08

<sup>1</sup> OIFR rates include illnesses related to airborne agents as well as noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL), infectious diseases (such as malaria, tuberculosis and dengue fever) and musculoskeletal disorders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2019 data includes former Nevada assets from Jan. 1 to June 30, 2019 and includes all office, metallurgy laboratory, project and exploration sites. As we integrate reporting systems, Goldcorp data will be included in future data sets. We do not disaggregate safety data by gender. Beginning in 2017, we began reporting TRIFR and LTIFR data in alignment with ICMM guidelines. Data for the years 2015 and 2016 have been restated to reflect this reclassification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 403-9: Work-related injuries; and GRI 403-2: Types of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and number of work-related fatalities.

LEADERSHIP MESSAGES COVID-19 OVERVIEW GOVERNANCE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE DATA REPORT FRAMEWORKS

## Contractor-only safety data: Site level<sup>1, 2</sup>

contractor-only surety data.	Exposure hours	Fatalities	Restricted work injuries	Medical treatment injuries	Lost day injuries	LTIFR (lost time)	TRIFR (total recordable)	Recordable illnesses	OIFR (occupational illness)
Africa	7,735,555	0	4	1	2	0.16	0.18	6	0.16
Accra – regional office	150,173	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Ahafo	5,815,826	0	4	1	1	0.17	0.21	4	0.14
Akyem	1,224,323	0	0	0	1	0.16	0.16	2	0.33
Exploration	431,932	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Ahafo North project	113,301	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Australia	4,042,942	0	10	5	7	0.84	1.09	6	0.30
Perth – regional office	10,904	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Boddington	2,285,699	0	4	4	4	0.70	1.05	3	0.26
Tanami	1,397,239	0	5	1	3	1.15	1.29	3	0.43
Exploration	8,217	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Projects	334,511	0	1	0	0	0.60	0.60	0	0.00
Legacy sites	6,372	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
U.S.	870,798	0	0	0	1	0.23	0.23	0	0.00
Denver – headquarters	800,773	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Denver – metallurgy lab	13,547	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
CC&V	53,174	0	0	0	1	3.76	3.76	0	0.00
Miami – regional office	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Legacy sites	3,304	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Canada	2,244,592	0	0	3	1	0.09	0.36	0	0.00
Vancouver – regional office	53,170	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Éléonore	581,875	0	0	1	0	0.00	0.34	0	0.00
Musselwhite	630,796	0	0	1	0	0.00	0.32	0	0.00
Porcupine	978,681	0	0	1	1	0.20	0.41	0	0.00
Legacy sites	70	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Guatemala	694,654	0	1	0	0	0.29	0.29	0	0.00
Legacy sites	694,654	0	1	0	0	0.29	0.29	0	0.00
Mexico	6,348,742	0	1	6	2	0.09	0.28	0	0.00
Peñasquito	6,348,742	0	1	6	2	0.09	0.28	0	0.00
Legacy sites	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Argentina	1,455,154	0	0	0	2	0.27	0.27	0	0.00
Cerro Negro	1,455,154	0	0	0	2	0.27	0.27	0	0.00
Suriname	1,456,583	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Merian	1,456,583	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Peru	5,204,829	0	0	1	1	0.04	0.08	0	0.00
Yanacocha	5,204,829	0	0	1	1	0.04	0.08	0	0.00
North America	363,619	0	3	3	1	2.20	3.85	0	0.00
Exploration	363,619	0	3	3	1	2.20	3.85	0	0.00
South America	1,677,191	0	1	1	0	0.12	0.24	0	0.00
Exploration	498,746	0	1	1	0	0.40	0.80	0	0.00
Projects	1,178,445	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Total	32,094,659	0	20	20	17	0.23	0.36	12	0.07

<sup>1 2019</sup> data includes former Nevada assets from Jan. 1 to June 30, 2019 and includes all office, metallurgy laboratory, project and exploration sites. We do not collect this data by gender. All data has been adjusted to reflect our reclassification of injuries and illnesses to align with ICMM guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 403-9: Work-related injuries; and GRI 403-2: Types of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and number of work-related fatalities.

**ENVIRONMENT** 

## Combined safety rates: Trailing five years1, 2, 3

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
LTIFR	0.16	0.23	0.26	0.22	0.21
TRIFR	0.39	0.46	0.40	0.41	0.33
OIFR	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.07

OIFR rates include illnesses related to airborne agents as well as noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL), infectious diseases (such as malaria, tuberculosis and dengue fever) and musculoskeletal disorders.

### Workforce injury frequency rate (per 200,000 hours worked): Trailing five years<sup>1, 2, 4</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
TRIFR	0.39	0.46	0.40	0.41	0.33
LTIFR	0.16	0.23	0.26	0.22	0.21
Permanently Disabling Injury (PDI) <sup>3</sup>	0.003	0	0	0.003	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2019 data includes former Nevada assets from Jan. 1 to June 30, 2019 and includes all office, metallurgy laboratory, project and exploration sites. We do not collect this data by gender.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2019 data includes former Nevada assets from Jan. 1 to June 30, 2019 and includes all office, metallurgy laboratory, project and exploration sites. As we integrate reporting systems, Goldcorp data will be included in future data sets. We do not disaggregate safety data by gender. Beginning in 2017, we began reporting TRIFR and LTIFR data in alignment with ICMM guidelines. Data for the years 2015 and 2016 have been restated to reflect this reclassification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 403-9: Work-related injuries; and GRI 403-2: Types of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and number of work-related fatalities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Beginning in 2017, we began reporting TRIFR and LTIFR data in alignment with ICMM guidelines. Data for the years 2015 and 2016 have been restated to reflect this reclassification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As part of the updated reporting requirements that went into effect on January 1, 2018, our Serious Injury Frequency Rate (SIFR) classification has changed to Permanently Disabling Injury/Illness (PDI).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> GRI Standards disclosures GRI 403-9: Work-related injuries; and GRI 403-2: Types of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and number of work-related fatalities.

LEADERSHIP MESSAGES COVID-19 OVERVIEW GOVERNANCE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE DATA REPORT FRAMEWORKS

## Combined safety rates: Site level<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Exposure hours	Fatalities	Restricted work injuries	Medical treatment injuries	Lost day injuries	LTIFR (lost time)	TRIFR (total recordable)	Recordable illnesses	OIFR (occupational illness)
Africa	12,539,197	0	5	4	3	0.13	0.19	6	0.10
Accra – regional office	382,242	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Ahafo	8,434,008	0	4	2	1	0.12	0.17	4	0.09
Akyem	3,069,094	0	1	2	2	0.20	0.33	2	0.13
Exploration	489,913	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Ahafo North project	163,940	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Australia	8,188,569	0	14	8	11	0.61	0.81	13	0.32
Perth – regional office	314,778	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Boddington	4,398,818	0	4	6	8	0.55	0.82	7	0.32
Tanami	2,793,465	0	9	2	3	0.86	1.00	6	0.43
Exploration	285,275	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Projects	385,001	0	1	0	0	0.52	0.52	0	0.00
Legacy sites	11,232	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
U.S.	2,897,137	0	2	2	2	0.28	0.41	1	0.07
Denver – headquarters	1,554,059	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Denver – metallurgy lab	128,581	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
CC&V	1,133,799	0	2	2	2	0.71	1.06	1	0.18
Miami – regional office	74,970	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Legacy sites	5,728	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Canada	6,183,955	0	0	7	4	0.13	0.36	0	0.00
Vancouver – regional office	683,800	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Éléonore	1,824,006	0	0	1	0	0.00	0.11	0	0.00
Musselwhite	1,422,285	0	0	2	1	0.14	0.42	0	0.00
Porcupine	2,253,096	0	0	4	2	0.18	0.53	0	0.00
Legacy sites	768	0	0	0	1	260.42	260.42	0	0.00
Guatemala	773,781	0	1	0	0	0.26	0.26	0	0.00
Legacy sites	773,781	0	1	0	0	0.26	0.26	0	0.00
Mexico	11,211,420	0	1	8	9	0.18	0.32	0	0.00
Peñasquito	11,211,420	0	1	8	9	0.18	0.32	0	0.00
Legacy sites	_	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00
Argentina	4,463,755	0	0	1	3	0.13	0.18	0	0.00
Cerro Negro	4,463,755	0	0	1	3	0.13	0.18	0	0.00
Suriname	4,301,501	0	1	0	0	0.05	0.05	0	0.00
Merian	4,301,501	0	1	0	0	0.05	0.05	0	0.00
Peru	7,719,896	0	0	3	1	0.03	0.10	0	0.00
Yanacocha	7,719,896	0	0	3	1	0.03	0.10	0	0.00
North America	633,396	0	3	3	1	1.26	2.21	0	0.00
Exploration	633,396	0	3	3	1	1.26	2.21	0	0.00
South America	2,327,181	0	1	1	1	0.17	0.26	0	0.00
Exploration	946,381	0	1	1	0	0.21	0.42	0	0.00
Projects	1,380,800	0	0	0	1	0.14	0.14	0	0.00
Total	61,239,788	0	28	37	35	0.21	0.33	20	0.07

<sup>1 2019</sup> data includes former Nevada assets from Jan. 1 to June 30, 2019 and includes all office, metallurgy laboratory, project and exploration sites. We do not collect this data by gender. All data has been adjusted to reflect our reclassification of injuries and illnesses to align with ICMM guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosures 403-9: Work-related injuries; and GRI 403-2: Types of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and number of work-related fatalities.

## Safety trainings: Site level<sup>1, 3</sup>

Juicey trummigs. Site level	G	General safety training			Topic-specific safety training <sup>2</sup>			
_	Number of trainings	Duration (hours)	Number of people trained	Number of trainings	Duration (hours)	Number of people trained		
Africa	14	21,261	5,702	118	61,796	24,194		
Accra – regional office	2	38	14	7	73	20		
Ahafo	6	12,733	3,445	64	38,880	15,721		
Akyem	6	8,490	2,243	47	22,843	8,453		
Australia	72	4,056	1,304	178	13,801	2,744		
Perth – regional office	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Boddington	1	156	104	97	7,311	1,271		
Tanami	71	3,900	1,200	81	6,490	1,473		
U.S.	23	514	641	869	15,565	1,488		
Denver – headquarters	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Denver – metallurgy lab	1	20	10	16	548	70		
CC&V	22	494	631	853	15,017	1,418		
Miami – regional office	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mexico	595	24,858	14,287	244	6,601	4,653		
Peñasquito	595	24858	14287	244	6,601	4,653		
Argentina	1	891	470	98	8,982	5,306		
Cerro Negro	1	891	470	98	8,982	5,306		
Suriname	1	2,524	631	16	18,229	6,005		
Merian	1	2524	631	16	18,229	6,005		
Peru	1	1,201	1,201	23	40,585	24,841		
Yanacocha	1	1201	1201	23	40,585	24,841		
Canada	3,733	10,804	6,922	2,241	13,807	6,082		
Musselwhite	561	2404	1016	191	692	1743		
Éléonore	2337	3292.5	2509	809	2738	809		
Porcupine	835	5107	3397	1241	10377	3530		
Total	4,439	65,218	30,688	3,689	170,383	70,007		

Note that emergency response training data is omitted from this SASB disclosure; please reference Emergency Preparedness data table for the number of emergency response trainings and simulations and number of Rapid Response global team members in 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Topic-specific safety training differs from general safety culture and awareness trainings; topics covered in 2020 include specific safety focus areas that include fatality risk management, fatigue prevention, hazardous situation trainings, materials handling, and hand injury prevention trainings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 403-5: Worker training on occupational health and safety; SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-320a.1: (4) Average hours of health, safety, and emergency response training for (a) full-time employees and (b) contract employees. Reference Emergency Preparedness data tables for relevant training and simulation data for 2020.

## Social:

#### **ARTISANAL AND SMALL-SCALE MINING**

### Artisanal and small-scale mining (ASM) activity: Site level<sup>2</sup>

	Country/site	Sites where ASM activity occurred in 2020 <sup>1</sup>
Africa	Ghana	
	Ahafo	Yes
	Akyem	No
Americas: North	U.S.	
	CC&V	No
	Canada	
	Éléonore	No
	Musselwhite	No
	Porcupine	No
	Mexico	
	Peñasquito	No
Americas: South	Argentina	
	Cerro Negro	No
	Suriname	
	Merian	Yes
	Peru	
	Yanacocha	Yes
Australia	Australia	
	Boddington	No
	Tanami	No

Reference the Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining section of the 2020 Beyond the Mine annual sustainability report for a detailed discussion of Newmont's ASM risks and mitigation actions, along with Newmont's global ASM strategy and implementation of our strategic objectives in the above locations where ASM activities take place on or near our operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosure MM8: Number and percentage of company operating sites where ASM takes place on or adjacent to the site; the associated risks and actions taken to mitigate these risks.

GOVERNANCE

#### **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

## Community development leading practices: Site level<sup>3</sup>

	Country/site	Site coordinates with other agencies for social and community development programs	Site has procedures for identifying and protecting subsistence-related resources of local communities <sup>1</sup>	Site engaged in community infrastructure development in 2020 <sup>2</sup>
Africa	Ghana			
	Ahafo	Yes	Yes	No
	Akyem	Yes	Yes	No
Americas: North	U.S.			
	CC&V	Yes	Yes	No
	Canada			
	Éléonore	Yes	Yes	No
	Musselwhite	Yes	Yes	No
	Porcupine	Yes	Yes	No
	Mexico			
	Peñasquito	Yes	Yes	Yes
Americas: South	Argentina			
	Cerro Negro	Yes	Yes	No
	Suriname			
	Merian	Yes	Yes	No
	Peru			
	Yanacocha	Yes	Yes	No
Australia	Australia			
	Boddington	Yes	Yes	No
	Tanami	Yes	Yes	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Subsistence-related resources include water, plants and wildlife.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Community infrastructure projects are defined as non-core business projects that have no direct business benefit to Newmont.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 413-1: Operations with local community engagement, impact assessments and development programs.

### ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL NEGATIVE COMMUNITY IMPACTS

## Actual and/or potential community impacts and mitigation responses by site<sup>1</sup>

COVID-19

Ν	lew	in	20	20

	Country/site	Impact #1	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #1	Impact #2	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #2	Impact #3	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #3			
Africa	Ghana									
	Ahafo	Potential impact on culturally significant site (Asuo Kofi shrine) near Awonsu pit	Engaged the Traditional Authority on the potential impact  Provided compensation for and participated in pacification rituals performed by the Traditional Authority  Re-established site in a suitable location and ensured the community has safe access to perform rituals  Engaged with Traditional Authorities to undertake a region-wide review to properly and accurately record cultural site information	Delay in distributing land-access compensation to project affected persons associated with the tailings storage facility (TSF) expansion due to cyber attack and COVID-19 pandemic	Engaged project affected persons     Worked with personnel in Newmont's Information Technology and Corporate functions to resolve the cyber attack issues     Processed and paid 90-day-past-due penalty to impacted beneficiaries	Impacts to livelihoods (farming) and water source for the inhabitants in the operation's peripheral communities (Dupri and surrounding communities) due to clearing land for resettlement construction at Kenyase No. 2	Engaged inhabitants within the area     Processed and paid adequate     compensation for the disturbed crops     Collaborated with the District Assembly,     Assemblyman and traditional leaders     to provide alternative water for the     inhabitants			
Americas: North	U.S.									
	CC&V	Air quality/dust	Provide general complaints and grievances (C&G) mechanism for community to lodge concerns Address concerns at community meetings and open houses							
	Canada									
	Éléonore	Land users' concern for safety related to transport trucks speeding on the access road to the mine (actual)	Provide formal complaints and grievances mechanism for land users to lodge grievances and for Newmont to track the follow-up on the matter  Communicate grievances to transportation companies and call for an action plan to address matter  Ensure communications plan with security agents is in place to constantly remind drivers to follow the speed limits  Continue radar campaign to catch speeding transport trucks  Purchase permanent photo radar, which will be installed in the land users' camp areas along the access road	Influx into Cree territory during moose season of non-native hunters accessing the area via the access road built for the mine (actual)	Use internal communications channels to communicate and build cultural awareness among employees about the importance of respecting the Cree way of life and ensuring Cree land users are informed when others are accessing the territory Collaborate with the Cree Nation government to deploy game wardens on the territory during moose season	Contamination of soils, water bodies and plants from dust generated by the conveyor belt (potential)	Installed a new scraper at the end of the conveyor to limit the blowing of dust Monitor the dust jars in the area and conduct environmental sampling Share the monitoring results with stakeholders on a continuous basis			

### New in 2020

	Country/site	Impact #1	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #1	Impact #2	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #2	Impact #3	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #3
Americas: North	Musselwhite	Concern with excessive speed of transport trucks hauling materials to site through the communities of Mishkeegogamang (highway is the local community main road) and Pickle Lake; and related impacts to First Nation community members traveling on NORD Road to North Caribou from excessive speed and rocks flying on passing vehicles (actual)	Provide notification to transport carriers through letter and telephone calls  Request community members provide license plate numbers, truck company identification and any other information	Loss of use and noise impacts from the mine on local land users (actual)	Provide trappers compensation for area immediately impacted by mine infrastructure (however, noise travels past the area designated as loss of use) Install noise silencers on ventilation raises and diesel generators	Dusting issues from the tailings storage facility (actual and potential, with past incidents being rare)	Include irrigation system in budget Create a spigotting process to ensure area maintains saturation Develop a plan to conduct hydroseeding on potential dusting sources
	Porcupine	Concerns raised by local residents and land users related to noise originating from the Hollinger open pit (actual)	Monitor noise in real time around the clock via monitoring stations and noise alerts  Create new list of possible noise sources for dispatch to accurately identify the source of the noise  Conduct annual noise audits  Ensure compliance with Best Management Plan  Engage community on performance via Hollinger Project Community Advisory Committee	Impact to local residents and land users due to vibrations/overpressure originating from blasting activities in the Hollinger open pit (actual)	Monitor vibrations in real time around the clock via monitoring stations and vibration alerts  Design blasts to comply with regulatory guidelines  Schedule blasts during the week and avoid blasting on statutory holidays and weekends  Conduct annual vibration audits  Study the impact of overpressure on the community  Ensure compliance with Best Management Plan  Execute Property Damage Claim and Resolution programs  Engage community on performance via Hollinger  Project Community Advisory Committee	Impact to local residents and land users from dust originating from the Hollinger open pit, haul road traffic and Dome tailings facility (actual)	Monitor air quality in real time via monitoring stations and dust collection stations Issue early dust detection notifications Conduct annual audit of dust monitoring equipment Ensure compliance to Best Management Plan Plan for calcium application in the spring and throughout summer Regularly apply water and conduct cleaning activities Engage the community on performance via Hollinger Project Community Advisory Committee

**ECONOMIC** 

#### New in 2020

	Country/site	Impact #1	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #1	Impact #2	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #2	Impact #3	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #3
	Mexico						
	Peñasquito	Impact to the communities along the La Pardita-Cedros Highway (La	a and/or compensation plan  Design and execute the highway construction works in a manner that mitigates recurring complaints by:	Palmas Grandes, Mesas del Portezuelo and El Trigo communities from the TSF sediment	Investigate complaint and establish mitigation and/ or compensation plan		
		Pardita, El Rosario, Tanquecillos, San Antonio, Palmas Grandes, Mesas del Portezuelo and El			Similar to addressing concerns with the highway construction, design and execute works in a manner that mitigates recurring complaints by:		
		Trigo) from ditch cutting activities associated with the construction of	<ul> <li>Visiting and assessing the alleged affected</li> </ul>	carryover (actual)	Visiting and assessing the alleged affected area		
		the highway (actual)	<ul><li>Conducting study by external expert, when</li></ul>		<ul> <li>Conducting study by external expert, when warranted</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>Completing recommended works that address complaints; this includes leveling the land, constructing culverts, creating water passages and/or creating livestock passages</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Completing recommended works that address complaints; this includes leveling the land, constructing culverts, creating water passages and/or creating livestock passages</li> </ul>		
Americas: South	Argentina						
	Cerro Negro	Increased demand for public and private services and infrastructure	Collaborated with Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) and municipality of Perito Moreno to	Local economic impacts including an increase in	Help diversify the local economy through collaborative efforts with the IDB	Expectations for employment and local	Provide transparent communications and information on commitments undertaken
		in Perito Moreno (actual)	prioritize local development projects  Signed agreement with the provincial government to connect Perito Moreno to the national power grid; project will be deducted from future contributions to the Provincial	the non tour	Present to the local government (and socialize with the provincial government) plans to foster other non-mining activities (agriculture and livestock, tourism, etc.) and create new opportunities for the local community	purchases (actual)	and report on their status to authorities and groups in the community  Produce annual Community Contribution Report and Value Creation and Distribution Report, detailing commitments
			Trust Fund		Constructed new houses with the municipality to help relieve pressure on housing demands		· · ·
	Suriname						
	Merian	of the Community Development to ensure the CDF functions effe	Revised the CDF Board and provided support to ensure the CDF functions effectively and successfully execute projects for the	Improve employment opportunities	Engaged consultant, who advised Newmont to consider training a selected group of community members for employment opportunities		
			Pamaka community		Executing programs, including vocational training among community members, to develop key skills that are applicable to a variety of job opportunities including those outside the mine		

#### New in 2020

**ENVIRONMENT** 

	Country/site	Impact #1	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #1	Impact #2	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #2	Impact #3	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #3
	Peru						
	Yanacocha	Complaints from landowners for alleged damages to their homes caused by vibrations from blasting activities at La Quinua Sur	Explain to stakeholders La Quinua Sur's scope of work and that no blasting has been carried out since 2017     Propose to perform vibration monitoring with OSINERGMIN (National Regulatory Organism Supervising Investment in Energy and Mining) or with an independent expert (landowners did not accept this proposal)     Propose to extend the improvement of their houses with the donation of materials (landowners did not accept this proposal)     Have the Environmental Evaluation and Oversight Agency (OEFA) of the Ministry of Environment propose to address the claim (landowners did not accept this proposal)	Incursions into the Antonio Explorations area by a family claiming that an area of land (11 hectares) was not sold by their grandfather to Yanacocha in the cited area and that the family should be compensated for the land and awarded contracting opportunities for their company	<ul> <li>Explain to the family that the land purchase process between 1992 and 1996 was agreed upon, and that there are not pending issues</li> <li>Communicate to family members Yanacocha's Local Contracting Policy (and the limitations to contract with new companies), but offer subcontracting services through other contractors (family did not accept offer)</li> <li>Activate site-level Rapid Response team to ensure all relevant functions were engaged in monitoring and responding to matter</li> </ul>	Incursions into the Yanacocha area of operations by local- local entrepreneurs/ contractors demanding contracting opportunities	<ul> <li>Developed action plan to:</li> <li>Explain to local entrepreneurs the current hiring policy, the business context of Yanacocha, the opportunities that are being generated, as well as the limitations to meet the expectations of new business opportunities</li> <li>Implemented plan for increasing local employment and local-local contractor opportunities in accordance with Yanacocha's business plan</li> <li>Communicate job opportunities at Yanacocha to authorities and village leaders</li> </ul>
Australia	Australia						
	Boddington	Perception of unmet community expectations exacerbated by lack of visibility/participation in the community, low awareness of social investments, higher vacancy rates of company-owned houses and a lack of development of the Banksia Estate residential subdivision	Refined stakeholder engagement management and social investment planning and implementation Leveraged greater employee volunteer participation in strategic and local community investment initiatives	Concerns raised regarding the potential future cumulative amenity impacts (e.g., changes in noise and vibration levels, visual amenity, air quality and the feeling of safety) as a result of Newmont operations and BHP's South 32 operations	Formally engaged with South 32 via the South West Biodiversity Partnership Indirectly approached via investment partners to co-contribute to existing strategic investment partnerships that address local environmental and water impacts Within the Community Reference Group (CRG), developed an overview of the South 32 and Newmont tenements, overlays and intersections and created an agreement scope of collaboration to address potential cumulative environmental impacts	Local Government Authority (LGA) and town of Boddington desire to reduce economic dependency on mining operations	Continue to consider and scope options for discretionary investment in local economic development and diversification  Leverage opportunities through membership in the Hotham Williams Economic Development Alliance (HWEDA) and participation in regional economic development initiatives
	Tanami	Community safety and service issues related to heavy vehicle traffic usage on the Tanami Highway	Included a safety and service options analysis as a priority action in the Tanami Desert Ten Year Plan (TYP); progressed the analysis in 2020	Potential impact to significant cultural resources, including sacred trees, associated with the Tanami airfield extension project, including safety concerns	Engaged Central Land Council (CLC) as agents for Traditional Owners (TO) and external experts including legal, aviation regulators, anthropologists, ecologists and safety professionals to discuss options, processes and plans that achieve a suitable outcome that meets relevant statutory requirements and TO commitments  Presented Sacred Site Clearance Certificate Application (SSCCA) and conducted subsequent presentation and consultation on options during the Traditional Owner Liaison Committee meeting  Progressed planning for on-ground cultural heritage site visit with relevant TOs to consider options		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 413-2: Operations with significant actual and potential impacts on local communities. Actual and potential impacts are based on social impact assessments performed for each site; Australia omits KCGM site, which was divested in the first quarter of 2020. Former Goldcorp sites will be included in future reporting years.

171 Newmont Corporation 2020 Sustainability Report

## Actual and/or potential community impacts and mitigation responses by site<sup>2</sup>

### 2019 Impacts still present

	Country/site	Impact #1	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #1	lmpact #2	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #2	Impact #3	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #3
Africa	Ghana						
	Ahafo	Reduction in local employment opportunities following the completion of the construction phase of the Ahafo Mill Expansion and Subika Underground projects	<ul> <li>Engage regularly with stakeholders to discuss local employment concerns</li> <li>Support alternative livelihood opportunities such as the Local Economic Diversification Support (LEDS) project</li> <li>Engage local contractors and suppliers to identify indirect employment opportunities</li> </ul>	Loss of livelihoods related to physical and economic displacement due to the Awonsu and Apensu pit expansion projects and the Subika East waste dump expansion	<ul> <li>Conducted independent study to identify households affected by projects</li> <li>Developed a resettlement action plan (RAP) and/ or livelihood action plan (LAP) to address the impacts of resettlement</li> <li>Formed committee led by community leaders to approve plans for resettlement, payment of adequate compensation and/or establishment of alternative livelihood support</li> </ul>	Population influx due to mining activities resulting in increased pressure on social amenities and social disruptions (e.g., illegal mining, increase in crimes, gender imbalance, alcoholism) within the communities	<ul> <li>Directly, indirectly and through the Ahafo Development Foundation (NADeF), invest in social infrastructure and services (education, health, water and sanitation)</li> <li>Implement Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining (ASM) strategy to address illegal mining incursions</li> </ul>
	Akyem	Complaints of building cracks attributed to blasting activities at the mine	<ul> <li>Formed a joint Company-Community-District Assembly committee for engagement and resolution planning</li> <li>Categorized complaint as tier 3 to involve legal resolution mechanisms, and agreed to a third-party (regulatory) assessment of cracks for adjudication at the direction of a court of law</li> </ul>	Deprivation of land- based livelihoods	<ul> <li>Conduct ongoing and regular stakeholder engagement</li> <li>Undertook socio-economic assessment, with vulnerability component, to identify individual farmer livelihood needs</li> <li>Implemented livelihood intervention plan</li> </ul>	Damage to farms (flooding) due to exploration activities	<ul> <li>Provide complaints and grievances process for community members to lodge complaints on flooding of farms</li> <li>Provide fair and equitable compensation to those with affected farms</li> </ul>
Americas: North¹	U.S.						
	CC&V	Complaints associated with the mine's blasting activities	Blasting vibration grievance resolution program includes third-party facilitation of claims	Complaints related to noise generated by mining activities (e.g., back-up alarms)	Provide general complaints and grievances mechanism for community members to lodge concerns	Local business development issue related to access to public parking near offices in the towns of Cripple Creek and Victor	Provide general complaints and grievances mechanism for community members to lodge concerns

## 2019 Impacts still present

ENVIRONMENT

					·			
	Country/site	Impact #1	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #1	lmpact #2	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #2	Impact #3	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #3	
Americas: South¹	Suriname							
	Merian	Complaints related to contractors driving at the junction of Mora Kondre and Kraboe Olo	Ongoing communications with Newmont's Health and Safety and Supply Chain departments, the relevant contractors using this road, and the complainants	Local supplier concerns regarding procurement for seeds and plants for which the suppliers invested time and materials to complete preparation work	<ul> <li>Ongoing communication between Newmont's Environmental, Supply Chain and Accounts Payable departments and the local suppliers</li> <li>Continued discussions between Newmont and the suppliers on the unit price of the plants</li> </ul>	Excessive dust and speeding causing contaminated water and nuisance in communities along the transport route	Purchased a bigger truck for road wetting, which will be used until a more long-term solution has been implemented	
	Peru							
	Yanacocha	Complaints from the Canal Tual users claiming the water catchment area has been reduced due to mining activities	Formed a task force led by the Cajamarca Water Authority, the canal users and Yanacocha (2017)  Developed the Water Availability Study and Water Use Plan for the Quebrada Pampa Larga Hydrographic Unit (2018)  Installed a roundtable for dialogue and negotiations with Canal Tual users to determine the volume of water affected, and the environmental and social mitigation measures needed (2019)	Complaints from Canal Azufre Ventanillas users claiming decreased water and the disappearance of water sources due to Yanacocha's operations (Chaquicocha, Carachugo)	<ul> <li>Formed a task force led by the Management of Environment and Natural Resources (RENAMA) of the Regional Government of Cajamarca, the Cajamarca Water Authority (ALA/ANA), the canal committee, Azufre river basin users and Yanacocha</li> <li>Proposed the Azufre river sub-basin, a water reservoir, as a water management alternative</li> <li>Signed an inter-institutional agreement in July 2019 for the preparation of the technical profile of the project for a reservoir on the Azufre River (process underway)</li> </ul>	Complaints regarding turbidity in the El Chorro spring water that supplies the drinking water system (SAP) of the Totorococha farmhouse, and claims that the turbidity was caused by drilling related to the China Linda quarry hydrogeological study	<ul> <li>Established a commission that includes the Office of Social Management of the Ministry of Energy and Mines-Cajamarca, Sub-Prefecture of Bambamarca, water users and Yanacocha</li> <li>Reached agreement to recognize the social discomfort of 80 SAP users, improve and extend the SAP, and prepare both a technical study for the biodigester project and a technical profile for the improvement of a 4 kilometer road</li> </ul>	

### 2019 Impacts still present

					•			
	Country/site	Impact #1	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #1	lmpact #2	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #2	Impact #3	Sample(s) of management response to mitigate impact #3	
Australia	Australia							
	Boddington	Local employment	Locally advertise positions and encourage suppliers/business partners to recruit local people through criteria in tenders, employment pathways specific to local Indigenous people and the availability of scholarships through tertiary institutions	A negative perception that the operation is maintaining its mining camp accommodations and encouraging drive-in, drive-out (DIDO) workers rather than supporting local economic growth through hiring from the local community	<ul> <li>Engage in ongoing dialogue with the communities on Newmont's policies supporting local residency by workers</li> <li>Facilitate opportunities for greater interaction between the camp workforce and the community</li> </ul>	Traffic concerns related to the vehicle and truck movements through Boddington and the surrounding area	Serve as a member of the Industry Road Safety Alliance South West Implement curfews for transporting copper concentrate to reduce trucking during sensitive times of the day (e.g., end of school day)	
	Tanami	Indigenous participation specific to employment and procurement	Revised Indigenous recruitment and training procedures Included a discrete Indigenous people engagement domain in a revised and extended stakeholder engagement management plan (SEMP) Developed an Indigenous Employment Pathways (IEP) steering Committee and annual action plan	Delay in extension, renewal and recommencement of Yapa Crew (primary employment pathway/ program for Priority Warlpiri and AOI communities)	Recruited internal central Australian language group (CALG) Yapa Crew supervisor     Completed program delivery model options analysis	Lack of coordinated and collaborative engagement with Indigenous rangers on land management programs	Co-funding regional biodiversity monitoring program (RBM) to conduct independent data reviews in collaboration with the Central Land Council (CLC)  Engaged the University of Queensland Centre for Social Responsibility in Mining (CSRM) on a scoping study related to Indigenous groups land rehabilitation and mine closure  Participated in and contributed to RBM stakeholders planning workshop, and committed to expanding the partnership and supporting an independent-facilitated workshop in 2020  Provided input on a consulting scoping project (to be conducted by Social Ventures Australia, which was engaged by the CLC) to identify and analyse market opportunities for expanding the ranger program's fee-for-service work	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Former Goldcorp sites were not reported in 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 413-2: Operations with significant actual and potential impacts on local communities. Actual and potential impacts are based on social impact assessments performed for each site; Australia omits KCGM site, which was divested in the first quarter of 2020. Former Goldcorp sites will be included in future reporting years.

SOCIAL

#### **COMPLAINTS AND GRIEVANCES**

## Complaints and grievances: Site level<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Country	Number received	% resolved within 30 days
Africa	Ghana	190	
	Ahafo	115	94%
	Akyem	75	100%
Americas: North	U.S.	14	
	CC&V	14	100%
	Canada	196	
	Éléonore	24	N/A
	Musselwhite	0	N/A
	Porcupine	172	N/A
	Mexico	82	
	Peñasquito	82	N/A
Americas: South	Argentina	84	
	Cerro Negro <sup>4</sup>	84	N/A
	Suriname	7	
	Merian	7	100%
	Peru	8	
	Yanacocha	8	100%
Australia	Australia	6	
	Boddington	6	100%
	Tanami	0	N/A
Global	Total	587	96.9%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tracking of the percent of complaints resolved within 30 days is expected to be available for former Goldcorp sites later in 2021.

## Complaints and grievances by category: Site level<sup>1,3</sup>

	Number received <sup>2</sup>	% of total by category
Access to vital resources (i.e., water, land)	3	0.5%
Affects relationship with key stakeholder	2	0.4%
Lack of engagement and consultation	4	0.7%
Land use and/or access to traditional lands affected	2	0.4%
Loss of jobs/income	4	0.7%
Loss of personal security	10	1.8%
Personal property	2	0.4%
Soil contamination	1	0.2%
Noise, vibration and air quality/dust	174	31.6%
Business partners (contractors)	14	2.5%
Compensation practices	147	26.7%
Other (miscellaneous)	29	5.3%
Land access	12	2.2%
Consultation and access to information	41	7.5%
Blast events	18	3.3%
Employment	9	1.6%
Infrastructure improvement	0	0.0%
Local business development	20	3.6%
Property damage	53	9.6%
Community investment	6	1.1%
Livelihoods/living conditions and wellbeing	9	1.6%
Workforce behavior	4	0.7%
Resettlement	6	1.1%
Environment	4	0.7%
Media request or attention	0	0.0%
Accounts payable	1	0.2%
Procurement practices	0	0.0%
Training	0	0.0%
Transport	1	0.2%
Government payments or financial regulations	0	0.0%
Community events	1	0.2%
Health and safety	0	0.0%
Industry engagement	0	0.0%
Small-scale mining	1	0.2%
Total	550	100.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data reflects the combined reasons for complaints and grievances for Newmont sites and former Goldcorp sites.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 102-44: Stakeholder key topics and concerns raised.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A single complaint or grievance may have multiple categories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 102-44: Stakeholder key topics and concerns raised.

COVID-19

#### **HUMAN RIGHTS**

### Human rights assessments or reviews conducted 2017–2020: Site-level<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Country/site	Human rights assessment or review occurring 2017–2020	Assessment summary and context
Africa	Ghana		
	Ahafo	2019	Human Rights Baseline and Impact Assessment Study for the proposed Ahafo North project
	Akyem	2019	Newmont risk assessment process that incorporates human rights on an ongoing basis
	All-Ghana locations	2020	Audits initiated for two suppliers
Americas: North	U.S.		
	CC&V	2020	Desktop human rights risk assessment. Step 1: review of existing risks to determine their potential impact on human rights. Confirmation that controls were accurate if there were human rights risks identified; Step 2: identification of any additional human rights risks and necessary controls to be added to the risk register
	Canada		
	Éléonore	Forthcoming	Former Goldcorp site will be incorporating human rights into SIA during update process in 2022
	Musselwhite	Forthcoming	Former Goldcorp site will be incorporating human rights into SIA during update process in 2021
	Porcupine	Forthcoming	Former Goldcorp site will be incorporating human rights into SIA during update process in 2022
	Guatemala		
	Marlin	2017	Marlin Mine Closure: A Review of Goldcorp Commitments to the 2010 Human Rights Assessment
	Mexico		
	Peñasquito	Forthcoming	Former Goldcorp site will be incorporating human rights into SIA during update process in 2021
Americas: South	Argentina		
	Cerro Negro	Forthcoming	Former Goldcorp site incorporated human rights into social baseline and impact assessment in 2020
	Suriname		
	Merian	2019	Merian's cross-functional Human Rights Working Group refined the site's human rights action plan and undertook an assessment to determine the most salient human rights risks for the region
	Merian	2017	Human Rights Impact Assessment
	Sabajo	2018	Human rights integrated into the public Environmental and Social Impact Assessment
	Peru		
	Peru – all locations	2020	Audits initiated for two suppliers
	Peru	2018	Human Rights Risk Assessment of new security system
	Yanacocha	2017	Human Rights Impact Assessment
Australia	Australia		
	Australia – all locations	2020	Audit initiated for one supplier
	Boddington	2019	Newmont risk assessment process that incorporates human rights on an ongoing basis
	Tanami	2019	Newmont risk assessment process that incorporates human rights on an ongoing basis
Global	Percentage coverage:	100%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All former Newmont sites conduct human rights assessments on an ongoing basis as part of Newmont's established risk assessment process. In addition to ongoing risk assessments that include human rights assessments on an ongoing basis as part of Newmont's established risk assessment process. In addition to ongoing risk assessments that include human rights assessments for each country are summarized in the table above. Former Goldcorp sites are addressing gaps to become fully compliant with Newmont's standards (including on human rights assessments).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 412-1: Operations that have been subject to human rights reviews or impact assessments.

## Human rights new supplier screening: Country level<sup>1,3</sup>

### New supplier human rights screenings

	Country	Number of new suppliers	Number of new suppliers screened for human rights risks <sup>2</sup>	% of new suppliers screened for human rights	Number of suppliers identified through the automated pre-qualification process as having human rights risks¹
Africa	Ghana	95	94	99%	27
Americas: North	U.S.	188	141	75%	71
Americas: South	Peru	207	173	84%	82
Australia	Australia	173	112	65%	37
Global	Total	663	520	78%	217

<sup>1</sup> We pre-screened all new suppliers in Australia, Ghana and Peru and at the corporate level against human rights criteria. The automated pre-qualification system often flags too many suppliers as high or extreme risk. This number is validated with sites and often decreased based on a more nuanced understanding of the supplier's risk level. Sites that are not within the scope of the supplier risk assessment program (SRiM) are: U.S. CC&V; Canada sites; Mexico, Argentina and Suriname.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The difference between new suppliers and suppliers screened reflects those suppliers whose pre-qualification questionnaires are outstanding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 412-1: Operations that have been subject to human rights reviews or impact assessments.

## Security personnel trained in human rights policies or procedures: Site level<sup>1, 4</sup>

		Session o	letails	Total number of participants in security training					
	Country/site	No. of sessions conducted	Total duration of all sessions (hours)	No. of employees trained	No. of public security/ law enforcement personnel trained	No. of private security contractors trained	Other external stakeholders trained²	Percentage of Newmont security personnel trained	
Africa	Ghana	28	51	12	267	652	0	100%	
	Accra	2	6	2	0	21	0	100%	
	Ahafo	12	24	5	6	180	0	100%	
	Akyem	14	21	5	261	451	0	100%	
Americas: North	Canada³	0	0	3	0	50	0	100%	
	Éléonore	N/R	Self-guided	1	0	10	0	100%	
	Musselwhite	N/R	Self-guided	1	0	6	0	100%	
	Porcupine	N/R	Self-guided	1	0	34	0	100%	
	U.S.	8	0	8	0	0	0	100%	
	CC&V	8	Self-guided	8	0	0	0	100%	
	Mexico	0	1,623	3,123	290	240	0	86%	
	Peñasquito	N/R	1,623	3,123	290	240	0	86%	
Americas: South	Guatemala	8	8	4	0	40	0	100%	
	Marlin	8	8	4	0	40	0	100%	
	Honduras	1	1	0	0	6	0	100%	
	San Martin	1	1	0	0	6	0	100%	
	Argentina	2	2	19	0	100	0	100%	
	Cerro Negro	2	2	19	0	100	0	100%	
	Suriname	21	43	23	26	141	0	100%	
	Merian	21	43	23	26	141	0	100%	
	Peru	133	1,540	8	518	538	0	100%	
	Yanacocha	133	1,540	8	518	538	0	100%	
Global	Total	201	3,268	3,200	1,101	1,767	0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Security training did not take place in 2020 in Australia; these data are omitted from the table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Due to COVID-19 restrictions, external stakeholder engagement events were canceled or significantly curtailed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Training for security in Canada was online and self-guided so we do not have figures for the number of sessions conducted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 410-1: Security personnel trained in human rights policies or procedures.

## Human rights workforce trainings conducted: Site level<sup>3</sup>

				Internal or			
	Country/site	Human rights training courses delivered in 2020	Total hours trained at site	external trainer(s) delivered course(s)	Number of employees trained <sup>1</sup>	Number of suppliers trained <sup>2</sup>	Total number of workforce trained
Africa	Ghana						
	Ahafo	2	166	Internal	0	166	164
	Akyem	0	0	N/A	0	166	165
Americas: North	U.S.						
	CC&V	0	0	N/A	0	0	0
	Canada						
	Éléonore	0	0	N/A	0	0	0
	Musselwhite	0	0	Internal	0	0	0
	Porcupine	1	30	Internal	30	0	30
	Mexico						
	Peñasquito	1	Not reported	Internal	4,518	0	4,518
Americas: South	Argentina						
	Cerro Negro	1	100	Internal	100	0	100
	Suriname						
	Merian	2	950	External	46	334	380
	Peru						
	Yanacocha	11	249	Internal	108	148	256
Australia	Australia						
	Boddington	2	248	External	35	30	65
	Tanami	2	1,700	External	126	48	174
Global	Total	22	3,443		4,963	892	5,852

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This does not include the online employee training figures which are reported in the main body of the report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes in-person and online supplier trainings. 331 suppliers were trained in Ghana through a virtual suppliers summit. The sessions included suppliers from both sites.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 412-2: Employee training on human rights policies or procedures.

### Lands, agreements, significant events: Site level<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Indigenous/aboriginal/First Nations group	Formal agreement
Boddington (Australia)	Gnaala Karla Booja	Yes
Tanami (Australia)	Warlpiri	Yes
Éléonore (Canada)	Cree Nation of Wemindj	Yes
	Grand Council of the Crees (Eeyou Iscthee)/Cree Nation Government	Yes
Musselwhite (Canada)	North Caribou Lake First Nation	Yes
	Cat Lake First Nation	Yes
	Wunnumin Lake First Nation	Yes
	Kingfisher Lake First Nation	Yes
	Windigo First Nation Council	Yes
	Shibogama First Nation Council	Yes
	Mishkeegogamang First Nation	Yes
Porcupine – Chapleau (Canada)	Chapleau Cree First Nation	Yes
	Brunswick House First Nation	Yes
	Chapleau Ojibwe First Nation	Yes
	Michipicoten First Nation	Yes
Porcupine – Timmins (Canada)	Mattagami First Nation	Yes
	Matachewan First Nation	Yes
	Flying Post First Nation	Yes
	Wahgoshig First Nation	Yes
	Metis Nation of Ontario	No
Merian (Suriname)	Pamaka Maroon Tribe of the Marowijne River	Yes
Merian/Sabajo project (Suriname)	Kawina Maroon Tribe	In process

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Canada has adopted the UN Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples legislation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The reporting boundary for this disclosure covers all Indigenous/aboriginal/First Nations groups near our operations (active, exploration) and sites in 2020 (beyond land agreements and events). Reference the narrative of our 2020 annual sustainability report for a detailed discussion of stakeholder engagement with all groups, including those with which Newmont has formal agreements in place (meeting the aspects of GRI MM5 that are not shown in the table above to ensure full coverage of this disclosure item). Of the 12 active operating sites within this reporting boundary (Ahafo, Akyem, CC&V, Eleonore, Musselwhite, Porcupine, Peñasquito, Cerro Negro, Merian, Yanacocha, Boddington, and Tanami), seven actively operating sites (50% ownership) are located in or adjacent to Indigenous peoples' territories

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement MM5: Total number of operations taking place in or adjacent to Indigenous peoples' territories, and number and percentage of operations with sites where there are formal agreements with Indigenous peoples' communities; GRI Standards disclosure 411-1: Rights of Indigenous peoples; and SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-210a.3: Security, human rights, & rights of Indigenous peoples.

#### LAND OR RESOURCE USE DISPUTES

Significant disputes related to land or resource use: Site level<sup>1,2</sup>

	Country/site	Number of significant land use disputes in 2020	Number of disputes addressed through complaints and grievances resolution process	If applicable, summary of significant disputes, actions taken and outcomes
Africa	Ghana			
	Ahafo	1	0	A small group of farmers disputed Newmont's exploration activities in the mine's Apensu area, which Newmont acquired in the 2004–2005 timeframe. According to the farmers, they were not compensated for their farms. Some farmers are also requesting that the court require the Company to compensate them for depriving them from using the land from 2004 to date. The claims are currently under legal review.
	Akyem	No	N/A	N/A
Americas: North	U.S.			
	CC&V	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Canada			
	Éléonore	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Musselwhite	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Porcupine	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mexico			
	Peñasquito	N/A	N/A	N/A
Americas: South	Argentina			
	Cerro Negro	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Suriname			
	Merian	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Peru			
	Yanacocha	N/A	N/A	N/A
Australia	Australia			
	Boddington	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tanami	N/A	N/A	N/A
Global	Total	1	0	

Significant impacts are those that have had an impact on our ability to operate commensurate with a Level 3+ event in the Newmont Risk Matrix. Land disputes may be associated with current, planned or proposed future site operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosures MM6: Number and description of significant disputes relating to land use, customary rights of local communities and Indigenous peoples; and GRI MM7: The extent to which grievance mechanisms were used to resolve disputes relating to land use, customary rights of local communities and Indigenous peoples and the outcomes. Supports SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-210b.1: Discussion of process to manage risks and opportunities associated with community rights and interests.

SOCIAL

#### **RESETTLEMENT AND/OR RELOCATION ACTIVITY**

## Resettlement and/or relocation activity: Site level<sup>1,2</sup>

	Country/site	Resettlement and/or relocation activity occurred in 2020	Number of households resettled and/or relocated
Africa	Ghana		
	Ahafo	Yes	80
	Akyem	No	N/A
Americas: North	U.S.		
	CC&V	No	N/A
	Canada		
	Éléonore	No	N/A
	Musselwhite	No	N/A
	Porcupine	No	N/A
	Mexico		
	Peñasquito	No	N/A
Americas: South	Argentina		
	Cerro Negro	No	N/A
	Suriname		
	Merian	No	N/A
	Peru		
	Yanacocha	No	N/A
Australia	Australia		
	Boddington	No	N/A
	Tanami	No	N/A
Global	Total		

Reference the Resettlement and Land Use section of the 2019 Beyond the Mine report for a detailed discussion of the Ahafo resettlement activities with the nearby Dokyikrom community. Twenty-five households were given relocation under the Subika East Waste Dump Expansion (SEWDE) project, which was a directive from the Minister of Lands and Natural Resources. The resettlement houses are under construction and will be handed over to the households in 2020. Three households were also relocated due to the Awonsu Oxide Pit development; one household was resettled from the tailings storage facility toe drain project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Mining and Metals Sector Supplement disclosure MM9: Sites where resettlements took place, the number of households resettled in each, and how their livelihoods were affected in the process.

### SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND ENGAGEMENT

Social impact assessment and engagement practices: Site level<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Country/site	Social Impact Assessment (SIA) is conducted at this site: year of last SIA	Site's stakeholder engagement plans are based on stakeholder mapping	Site engages with broad-based local community consultation committees that include vulnerable groups	Site engages with works councils, occupational health and safety committees and other worker representation bodies to deal with social impacts	Site has formal local community grievance process
Africa	Ghana					
	Ahafo	2019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Akyem	2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Americas: North	U.S.					
	CC&V	2017	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Canada					
	Éléonore	2010	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Musselwhite	1995	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Porcupine	2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Mexico					
	Peñasquito	2015	Yes	No	No	Yes
Americas: South	Argentina					
	Cerro Negro	2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Suriname					
	Merian	2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Peru					
	Yanacocha	2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Australia	Australia					
	Boddington	2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Tanami	2019	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All sites out of compliance have plans in place to initiate an SIA update.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 413-1: Operations with local community engagement, impact assessments and development programs.

COVID-19

**ECONOMIC** 

# Value Sharing:

#### **COVID-19 GLOBAL COMMUNITY SUPPORT FUND**

COVID-19 Global Community Support Fund: Site level (thousands US\$)¹

	Country/site	Spend on health focus area	Spend on food security focus area	Spend on economic resiliency focus area	Spend on other focus area²	Total spend
Africa	Ethiopia	50	0	0	0	50
Allica	National <sup>3</sup>	50	0	0	0	50
	Ghana	2,068	0	38	0	2,106
	Ahafo	563	0	22	0	585
	Akyem	151	0	12	0	163
	National <sup>3</sup>	1,354	0	4	0	1,358
Americas: North	U.S.	259	213	197	162	831
	CC&V	256	213	197	162	829
	Denver	2	0	0	0	2
	Canada	574	456	359	108	1,497
	Éléonore	283	94	43	85	504
	Musselwhite	154	153	124	0	431
	Porcupine	122	156	192	24	493
	Coffee	16	53	0	0	69
	Mexico	594	114	523	20	1,250
	Peñasquito	594	114	523	20	1,250
	Other	9	33	0	0	42
	Legacy sites <sup>4</sup>	9	23	0	0	32
	Barbados	0	10	0	0	10
Americas: South	Argentina	449	52	306	0	807
	Cerro Negro	449	52	306	0	807
	Suriname	698	0	0	0	698
	Merian	698	0	0	0	698
	Peru	1,585	338	574	54	2,552
	Yanacocha	1,585	338	574	54	2,552
Australia	Australia	173	402	226	54	855
	Boddington	173	155	0	54	382
	Tanami	0	247	226	0	473
Global	Total	6,460	1,607	2,222	398	10,687

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is a disclosure of the Fund's current figures on our website. These are just the allocations for 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Other focus area is used for donations not related to health, food security, or economic resilience.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Donations made to organizations outside of the local community with a national or regional focus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Specific legacy site data was not collected, but refers to San Martin (Honduras) and Marlin (Guatemala).

#### **COMMUNITY INVESTMENTS**

### Community investments: Site level (millions)1,5

	Country/site <sup>2</sup>	Community development expenditures <sup>3</sup>	Donations <sup>4</sup>	Totals
Africa	Ghana	\$8.38	\$0.11	\$8.49
	Ahafo	\$3.92	\$0.10	\$4.02
	Akyem	\$4.46	\$0.01	\$4.47
Americas: North	U.S.	\$0.18	\$0.29	\$0.47
	CC&V	\$0.18	\$0.29	\$0.47
	Canada	\$0.00	\$2.21	\$2.21
	Éléonore	\$0.00	\$0.38	\$0.38
	Musselwhite	\$0.00	\$0.09	\$0.09
	Porcupine	\$0.00	\$0.37	\$0.37
	Vancouver	\$0.00	\$1.37	\$1.37
	Mexico	\$0.00	\$2.10	\$2.10
	Peñasquito	\$0.00	\$2.10	\$2.10
Americas: South	Argentina	\$0.26	\$1.33	\$1.59
	Cerro Negro	\$0.26	\$1.33	\$1.59
	Suriname	\$0.37	\$0.16	\$0.53
	Merian	\$0.37	\$0.16	\$0.53
	Peru	\$3.57	\$0.29	\$3.86
	Yanacocha	\$3.57	\$0.29	\$3.86
Australia	Australia	\$0.48	\$0.76	\$1.24
	Boddington	\$0.20	\$0.03	\$0.23
	Tanami	\$0.10	\$0.67	\$0.77
	Perth	\$0.19	\$0.06	\$0.24
Global	Total	\$13.25	\$7.25	\$20.50

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Community investments data excludes the COVID-19 Global Community Support Fund contributions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Australia includes Perth regional office; Canada includes Vancouver regional office; U.S. excludes Nevada and Denver corporate headquarters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Community development expenditures reported by sites reflect direct expenditures on programs and partnerships that support local community development programs and partnerships. Expenditures omit: outside grant funding received from partner agencies; overhead expenses such as community relations year-end reporting costs, salaries and administrative costs; monetary value of in-kind donations and volunteerism; and expenditures related to mitigation including exploration and land use payments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Donations data reflects direct monetary investments and the book value of in-kind donations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 201-1: Direct Economic Value Generated and Distributed (this table shows GRI 201-1-iii only); full disclosures are detailed in the Economic Value Generated and Economic Value Distributed tables. Amounts may not recalculate due to rounding. Differences in community investments totals shown in this table and totals shown in the Economic Value Distributed data are due to decimal place rounding.

SOCIAL

#### **ECONOMIC VALUE GENERATED AND DISTRIBUTED**

### Economic value distributed: Country level (millions)<sup>1,7</sup>

		Employee wages		Payments to providers	Payments to	Community	
Country	Operating costs <sup>2</sup>	and benefits	Capital spend³	of capital <sup>4</sup>	governments <sup>5</sup>	investments <sup>6</sup>	Total
Argentina	\$19.9	\$48.4	\$45.4	\$2.0	\$83.3	\$1.6	\$200.6
Australia	\$672.9	\$270.5	\$369.9	\$13.5	\$254.6	\$1.2	\$1,582.6
Canada	\$422.8	\$232.5	\$121.0	\$11.5	\$17.1	\$2.2	\$807.1
Ghana	\$468.6	\$132.5	\$137.4	\$2.6	\$284.6	\$8.5	\$1,034.2
Mexico	\$613.9	\$142.8	\$127.4	\$21.3	\$45.5	\$2.1	\$953.0
Peru	\$292.7	\$72.0	\$101.3	\$3.2	\$56.4	\$3.9	\$529.4
Suriname	\$196.2	\$63.4	\$41.5	\$0.0	\$98.5	\$0.5	\$400.1
U.S.	\$318.2	\$296.7	\$89.6	\$1,608.5	\$(125.8)	\$0.5	\$2,187.8
Total	\$3,005.2	\$1,258.7	\$1,033.5	\$1,662.6	\$714.1	\$20.5	\$7,694.6

<sup>1</sup> Amounts are on a consolidated basis as described in our 2020 10-K; however, to ensure comparable reporting boundaries across financial data disclosed in this report (economic value generated, distributed and community investments data tables), the U.S. figures omit Nevada data. Amounts may not recalculate due to rounding.

## **Economic value generated:** Country level (millions)1,2

Country	Amount
Argentina	\$429.0
Australia	\$2,258.0
Canada	\$1,185.8
Ghana	\$1,525.7
Mexico	\$1,886.3
Peru	\$620.2
Suriname	\$822.0
U.S.	\$481.7
Total	\$9,208.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Amounts are on a consolidated basis as described in our 2020 10-K; however, to ensure comparable reporting boundaries across value sharing data disclosed in this report (economic value generated, distributed, payments to governments, and community investments data tables), Nevada data is omitted. Economic value generated includes sales of \$9,138 (excluding Nevada of \$2,359), as well as byproduct sales of \$71, which are recognized as credits to costs applicable to sales in our 2020 10-K.

<sup>2</sup> Operating costs comprise costs applicable to sales of \$4,002 (excluding Nevada of \$1,012), exploration of \$148 (excluding Nevada of \$39), advanced projects, research and development of \$119 (excluding Nevada of \$3), and general and administrative expenses of \$259 (excluding Nevada of \$10) on an accrued basis and cash paid for reclamation in 2020 of \$101. Operating costs omit employee wages and benefits of \$1,195 included in the employee wages and benefits column; \$397 in payments to governments for employer and property taxes included in the payments to governments column; \$82 of indirect costs; \$71 of byproduct sales, which are recognized as credits to costs applicable to sales in our 2020 10-K; and \$21 of community investments included in the community investments column.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Capital spend is presented on an accrued basis and excludes employee wages and benefits capitalized of \$64 and Nevada spend of \$241.

<sup>4</sup> Payments to providers of capital includes interest expense, net of capitalized interest of \$308, dividends paid to common stockholders of \$834, and repurchases of common stock of \$521.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> To ensure comparable reporting boundaries across value sharing data disclosed in this report (economic value generated, distributed, payments to governments, and community investments data tables), Nevada data is omitted. The U.S. payments to governments figure omits \$36.5 paid to the state of Nevada. Should that figure have been included, the total U.S. payments to governments would have totaled \$(89.3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Community investments data includes direct monetary investments and the book value of in-kind donations. Australia includes Perth regional office; Canada includes Vancouver regional office; U.S. excludes Nevada and Denver corporate headquarters. Differences in community investments column totals shown in this table and totals shown in the Community Investments table are due to decimal place rounding. Community investments data excludes the COVID-19 Global Community Support Fund contributions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 201-1: Direct Economic Value Generated and Distributed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 201-1: Direct Economic Value Generated and Distributed.

#### **SUPPLY CHAIN**

### Number of suppliers1

Number of suppliers in 2020 11.352

#### Spending on local suppliers: Region level (millions)<sup>5</sup>

Region	Total spent on all suppliers	Total spent with local suppliers	% spent with local suppliers	Total spent with national suppliers	% spent with national suppliers	Total spent with local and national suppliers	% spent with local and national suppliers
Africa <sup>1</sup>	\$708.9	\$24.3	3.4%	\$534.9	75.5%	\$559.1	78.9%
Australia <sup>2</sup>	\$1,244.6	\$475.5	38.2%	\$707.2	56.8%	\$1,182.7	95.0%
North America <sup>3</sup>	\$1,474.8	\$314.8	21.3%	\$1,155.9	78.4%	\$1,470.7	99.7%
South America <sup>4</sup>	\$852.1	\$305.4	35.8%	\$421.1	49.4%	\$726.6	85.3%
Total	\$4,280.3	\$1,120.0	26.2%	\$2,819.1	65.9%	\$3,939.1	92.0%

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Local" or "local-local" refers to a micro-, small- or medium-size enterprise properly licensed and registered in Ghana. Local suppliers must have an office established in the mining lease area and be validated by community representatives and Newmont. Local suppliers must have an office in the region where the mine is located, but validation is not required.

## Spending on local suppliers by region — targets vs. results: Trailing four years (millions)<sup>1,2</sup>

-	2017	7	201	8	201	19	202	0
Region	Spend target	Target results						
Africa	\$10.0	\$16.8	\$14.0	\$21.6	\$22.0	\$25.3	\$22.0	\$24.3
Australia	\$96.0	\$197.9	\$160.0	\$357.7	\$273.0	\$429.1	\$390.0	\$475.5
North America	\$220.0	\$358.3	\$368.0	\$439.1	\$385.0	\$247.4	\$100.0	\$82.9
South America	\$80.0	\$85.6	\$279.0	\$284.6	\$320.0	\$351.5	\$326.0	\$263.5
Total	\$406.0	\$658.5	\$821.0	\$1,103.0	\$1,000.0	\$1,053.2	\$838.0	\$846.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2019 North America local supplier spending figures include Nevada supplier spend from January 1 to June 30 (pre-JV) and exclude the Colorado, U.S. corporate office; Australia local supplier spending figures exclude KCGM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 102-09: Number of suppliers.

<sup>2</sup> At Boddington, local suppliers are located in the Peel, South West and Wheatbelt districts, and local-local suppliers are within a 50 km radius. At Tanami, local suppliers are located in Alice Springs and Darwin districts, and local-local suppliers are from the Yuendumu and Lajamanu communities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For Éléonore, local-local suppliers must be owned by the Cree Nation of Wemindji, and local suppliers are owned by other Cree communities and the Cree Nation or are from the Nord-du-Québec region. For Musselwhite, local-local suppliers are those owned by signatory and affiliate First Nations and Councils, and local suppliers are from Winnipeg to Sault Ste. Marie in Musselwhite's indirect geographical area of influence. For Porcupine, local-local suppliers are from communities within 75 km of operations and from signatory First Nations, and local suppliers are from the northeastern Ontario region in Porcupine's indirect geographical area of influence. For CC&V, local-local suppliers are located in counties (Teller, Fremont, El-Paso) with close proximity to site, while local suppliers are from the rest of the state of Colorado.

Includes Merian and Yanacocha. "National" spend for Merian is included in "Local Procurement Spend." At Yanacocha, local suppliers must meet certain criteria and be registered in the city of Cajamarca, and local-local suppliers must be located in Yanacocha's geographical area of influence. At Merian, local suppliers are located in the country, and local-local suppliers are based close to the mine (predominantly Pamaka).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 204-1: Proportion of spending on local suppliers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This table only includes data from former Newmont sites.

LEADERSHIP MESSAGES COVID-19 OVERVIEW GOVERNANCE SOCIAL **ENVIRONMENT ECONOMIC** PERFORMANCE DATA REPORT FRAMEWORKS

## Spending on local suppliers by site (millions)

	Country/site	Total spend	Local-local	Local	National	International
Africa	Ghana	\$708.87	\$16.34	\$7.92	\$534.86	\$149.75
	Ahafo	\$396.23	\$11.91	\$6.20	\$358.00	\$20.12
	Akyem	\$312.64	\$4.43	\$1.72	\$176.87	\$129.63
Americas: North	U.S.	\$195.76	\$19.84	\$63.05	\$111.07	\$1.81
	CC&V	\$195.76	\$19.84	\$63.05	\$111.07	\$1.81
	Canada	\$500.26	\$91.46	\$115.82	\$290.66	\$2.31
	Éléonore	\$156.02	\$21.50	\$17.96	\$115.59	\$0.96
	Musselwhite	\$122.79	\$14.19	\$26.08	\$82.29	\$0.23
	Porcupine	\$221.46	\$55.77	\$71.78	\$92.78	\$1.12
	Mexico	\$778.77	\$4.85	\$19.77	\$754.15	\$0.00
	Peñasquito	\$778.77	\$4.85	\$19.77	\$754.15	\$0.00
Americas: South	Argentina	\$166.20	\$20.92	\$21.01	\$121.05	\$3.21
	Cerro Negro	\$166.20	\$20.92	\$21.01	\$121.05	\$3.21
	Suriname	\$258.26	\$0.34	\$0.85	\$163.57	\$93.50
	Merian	\$258.26	\$0.34	\$0.85	\$163.57	\$93.50
	Peru	\$427.60	\$32.02	\$66.72	\$300.07	\$28.80
	Yanacocha	\$427.60	\$32.02	\$66.72	\$300.07	\$28.80
Australia	Australia	\$1,244.57	\$29.50	\$446.01	\$707.21	\$61.85
	Boddington	\$605.02	\$28.54	\$315.92	\$214.97	\$45.60
	Tanami	\$349.22	\$0.96	\$46.60	\$291.42	\$10.24
	Other	\$290.33	N/A	\$83.49	\$200.82	\$6.02
Global	Total	\$4,280.30	\$215.27	\$741.15	\$2,982.65	\$341.23

#### **TAX TRANSPARENCY**

## Payments to governments: Country level (millions)<sup>1, 2, 5</sup>

Country	Government royalties	Taxes	Payments to governments
Argentina	\$19.22	\$64.12	\$83.34
Australia	\$59.39	\$195.17	\$254.56
Canada	\$0.0	\$17.06	\$17.06
Ghana	\$77.79	\$206.80	\$284.59
Mexico	\$0.0	\$45.45	\$45.45
Peru	\$0.0	\$56.42	\$56.42
Suriname	\$49.04	\$49.42	\$98.46
U.S. <sup>3, 4</sup>	\$0.0	\$(125.76)	\$(125.76)
Total	\$205.44	\$508.69	\$714.14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Taxes include current year cash payments for income and mining taxes, and accrued employer, property, sales, production, and withholding taxes. In addition, taxes include export duties paid in Argentina. Amounts may not calculate due to rounding.

### Effective tax rates: Country level (percentage)<sup>1, 3</sup>

Country	Rate
Argentina	134%
Australia	25%
Canada	140%
Ghana	37%
Mexico	27%
Peru	(40)%
Suriname	27%
U.S. <sup>2</sup>	(6)%
Other foreign	54%
Consolidated	22%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The effective tax rate is driven by a number of factors and can fluctuate from year to year. For a more detailed discussion of tax expenses, refer to page 66 of Newmont's 2020 10-K report. Amounts may not calculate due to rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Significant tax payments incurred are projected to be paid the following year for various countries that have installment rules impacting the timing of tax payments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> To ensure comparable reporting boundaries across value sharing data disclosed in this report (economic value generated, distributed, payments to governments, and community investments data tables), Nevada data is omitted. The U.S. payments to governments figure omits \$36.5 paid to the state of Nevada. Should that figure have been included, the total U.S. payments to governments would have totaled \$(89.3).

<sup>4</sup> Under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, Alternative Minimum Tax ("AMT") paid in prior years became refundable incrementally from 2018 through 2021. The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act, signed into law in the spring of 2020, allowed for the full refund of any unused AMT credits in 2018 or 2019. Newmont received the AMT credits for both 2018 and 2019 in 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 207-4: Country-by-Country Tax Reporting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The U.S. effective tax rate includes CC&V, Corporate Headquarters (Colorado), Nevada, and other U.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 207-4: Country-by-Country Tax Reporting.

## Workforce

#### **WORKFORCE DEMOGRAPHICS**

Total workforce: Trailing five years<sup>1, 2</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Employees	10,804	12,569	12,442	16,636	14,468
Contractors	9,312	12,111	11,765	15,026	13,540
Total	20,116	24,680	24,207	31,662	28,008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Significant 2018–2019 changes reflect the April 18, 2019 acquisition of Goldcorp and the omission of Nevada workforce due to the Nevada Gold Mines JV formation on July 1, 2019.

## Employees by region: Trailing five years1,5

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Africa	1,954	1,953	2,043	2,192	2,088
Australia <sup>2</sup>	2,683	2,895	2,824	2,874	2,173
Americas: North <sup>3</sup>	4,438	4,838	4,762	7,260	6,070
Americas: South <sup>4</sup>	1,729	2,883	2,813	4,310	4,137
Total	10,804	12,569	12,442	16,636	14,468

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Significant 2018-2019 changes reflect the April 18, 2019 acquisition of Goldcorp and the omission of Nevada workforce due to the Nevada Gold Mines JV formation on July 1, 2019.

### Contractors by region: Trailing five years<sup>1, 3</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Africa	2,022	3,261	3,469	3,448	3,271
Australia	2,038	1,541	1,539	1,706	2,450
Americas: North <sup>2</sup>	1,556	2,237	1,580	4,699	3,483
Americas: South	3,696	5,072	5,177	5,173	4,336
Total	9,312	12,111	11,765	15,026	13,540

Significant 2018–2019 changes reflect the April 18, 2019 acquisition of Goldcorp and the omission of Nevada workforce due to the Nevada Gold Mines JV formation on July 1, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 102-08: Information on employees and other workers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Employees associated with reclamation in Indonesia are included in Australia employee count and total two employees in 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Two employees located in France and three employees located in Barbados are reported under the North America Region for consolidated reporting purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Thirty-three employees in Miami, U.S. are located in South America for consolidated reporting purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 102-08: Information on employees and other workers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Four contractors in Haiti are located in North America for consolidated reporting purposes in 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 102-08: Information on employees and other workers.

SOCIAL

#### **COMPENSATION AND EQUAL REMUNERATION**

## Ratio of average female salary to average male salary: Employee category<sup>1</sup>

	Number of female employees	Average female salary	Number of male employees	Average male salary	Ratio female/ male average salary
Senior leadership	41	\$281,087	121	\$290,992	1.0
People managers	281	\$89,674	1,760	\$113,303	0.8
Individual contributors	829	\$78,902	2,620	\$91,530	0.9
Operators and maintainers	729	\$32,708	8,079	\$31,536	1.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 405-2: Ratio of basic salary and remuneration of women to men.

## Ratio of average female salary to average male salary: Country level<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Number of female employees	Average female salary	Number of male employees	Average male salary	Ratio female/ male average salary
Argentina	127	\$29,652	1,240	\$28,022	1.1
Australia	363	\$83,172	1,804	\$94,209	0.9
Canada	267	\$71,828	1,862	\$73,649	1.0
Ghana	250	\$23,620	1,821	\$25,347	0.9
Peru	119	\$48,158	1,234	\$40,045	1.2
Suriname	201	\$17,513	1,141	\$20,074	0.9
U.S.	260	\$127,131	832	\$120,284	1.1
Mexico	280	\$19,824	2,571	\$15,823	1.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Countries with fewer than 10 employees by gender are excluded for privacy purposes.

#### **DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION**

### Employee gender breakout: Country level<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>

	Female	Male	Total
Ghana	256	1,847	2,103
Ethiopia	3	13	16
Australia	363	1,804	2,167
U.S.	254	786	1,040
Canada	266	1,855	2,121
Mexico	280	2,571	2,851
Argentina	127	1,240	1,367
Suriname	201	1,141	1,342
Peru	119	1,234	1,353
Corporate	14	108	122
Other	3	7	10
Total	1,880	12,580	14,460

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Other category accounts for countries with fewer than 10 employees.

## Employee gender breakout: Number by employee category<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Female	Male	Total
Senior leadership	41	121	162
People manager	281	1,760	2,041
Individual contributors	829	2,620	3,449
Operators and maintainers	729	8,079	8,808
Total	1,880	12,580	14,460

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eight employees excluded who did not disclose gender.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Table represents average of all salaries, regardless of level of position. For an additional compensation comparison disclosure, please see p. 44 of our 2020 Proxy Statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 405-2: Ratio of basic salary and remuneration of women to men.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eight employees excluded who did not disclose gender.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 405-1: Diversity of governance bodies and employees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 405-1: Diversity of governance bodies and employees.

## Female representation: Percentage by employee category: Trailing five years<sup>1, 3</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Board of Directors <sup>2</sup>	30.0%	41.7%	41.7%	40.0%	45.5%
Executive leadership team	33.3%	33.3%	25.0%	44.4%	42.9%
Senior leadership	16.3%	17.4%	19.5%	21.0%	25.3%
People managers	12.2%	13.6%	14.6%	14.0%	13.8%
Individual contributors	25.3%	25.0%	24.7%	26.5%	24%
Operators and maintainers	11.8%	11.5%	12.5%	9.8%	8.3%
Total employees	14.8%	15.4%	15.7%	14.6%	13.0%

Beginning in 2016, we have been reporting female representation to the tenth of a percent to better track our progress against our targets. Female representation includes all employees. Public targets discussed elsewhere in this report do not include fixed term positions such as internships and those with a contract that ends on a specific date.

## Employee age distribution: Number and percentage by age range and category<sup>2</sup>

	Age under 30: Number of employees	Age under 30: % employees	Age 30-50: Number of employees	Age 30-50: % employees	Age over 50: Number of employees	Age over 50: % employees	Total number of employees all categories <sup>1</sup>	Total % by category all ages
Senior leadership	0	0.0%	87	<1%	75	<1%	162	1.0%
People managers	47	<1%	1,513	10.0%	474	3.0%	2,034	14.0%
Individual contributors	510	4.0%	2,435	17.0%	502	3.0%	3,447	24.0%
Operators and maintainers	1,401	10.0%	5,834	40.0%	1,556	11.0%	8,791	61.0%
Total	1,958	14%	9,869	68%	2,607	18%	14,434	100%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total number of employees all categories excludes 31 employees where age is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Our President and CEO is included in the Board of Directors data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 405-1: Diversity of governance bodies and employees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 405-1: Diversity of governance bodies and employees.

#### LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS

### Percentage of workforce represented by union or workplace agreement: Trailing five years<sup>1,6</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Argentina	N/A	N/A	N/A	87.8%	87.2%
Australia	41.0%	38.5%	24.3%	24.0%	14.0%
Canada	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.6%	10.6%
Ethiopia	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
French Guiana	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana²	100.0%	100.0%	97.7%	85.3%	90.6%
Guatemala	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
Mexico	N/A	N/A	N/A	70.6%	72.9%
Other <sup>3</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Peru	28.0%	29.0%	34.0%	35.9%	44.4%
Suriname	N/A	0.0%	45.4%	42.4%	41.1%
U.S. <sup>4</sup>	35.0%	32.3%	31.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total <sup>5</sup>	51.0%	51.0%	42.0%	43.4%	47.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Country data marked as 'N/A' (not applicable) represent year(s) when Newmont did not have workforce in that country.

### Minimum notice periods regarding operational changes: By country<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Site	Notification period
Ghana – Collective bargaining agreements (CBAs) only	Accra, Ahafo and Akyem	3 months for any workforce reductions
Australia	Boddington, Tanami and Perth	4 weeks
Mexico	Peñasquito and Mexico City	4 weeks
Canada	Musselwhite	2 weeks
Global – all workforce not covered by CBAs	All employees, all sites	Newmont's general workforce notification practices are governed by the Global Management of Change Standard and supporting guidelines which require a minimum of 2 weeks notice to personnel where these changes have site-wide, regional and/or global impacts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2020 COVID-19 workforce notifications are omitted from this data table. Ongoing workforce updates and notifications specific to COVID-19 impacts are available at Newmont.com.

### Strikes and lockouts exceeding one week's duration: Site level<sup>1</sup>

No strikes or lockouts exceeding one week's duration

## Number and duration of non-technical delays: Site level<sup>1, 2</sup>

No non-technical delays other than those related to the COVID-19 pandemic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Workplace agreement in Ghana represents Junior and Senior Staff members only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Other accounts for countries with fewer than 10 employees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Significant 2018-2019 changes reflect the April 18, 2019 acquisition of Goldcorp and the omission of Nevada workforce due to the Nevada Gold Mines JV formation on July 1, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The total figure here for 2020 does not match the Human Capital Management disclosure in the 2020 10-K due to different rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 102-41: Collective bargaining agreements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 402-1: Minimum notice periods regarding operational changes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards Metals and Mining Sector Supplement MM4: Number of strikes and lockouts exceeding one week's duration by country.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This does not reflect any delays due to COVID-19. See the COVID-19 section of our report to see which sites went into Care and Maintenance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SASB Metals & Mining Sustainability Accounting Standard EM-MM-210b.2: Number and duration of non-technical delays.

#### TALENT ATTRACTION AND RETENTION

## Employee turnover: By region<sup>3</sup>

	Employee initiated	Company initiated	Total
Africa	3.6%	4.9%	8.5%
Australia	6.7%	5.1%	11.8%
Americas: North <sup>1</sup>	4.5%	8.9%	13.4%
Americas: South <sup>2</sup>	3.1%	3.2%	6.4%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Employees located in France and Barbados are reported under the North America region for consolidated reporting purposes.

## Employee turnover: By age group<sup>1</sup>

Age	Total turnover	Turnover rate
<30	132	7.7%
30 to 50	736	7.6%
51+	427	15.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 401-1: New employee hires and employee turnover.

## **Employee turnover: Trailing five years**<sup>1, 2</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Employee initiated	5.0%	6.3%	6.6%	4.6%	4.2%
Company initiated	4.4%	3.7%	4.4%	4.9%	4.9%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2019 data omits two withdrawn employees whose birth dates are not available. Significant 2018–2019 changes reflect the April 18, 2019 acquisition of Goldcorp and the omission of Nevada workforce due to the Nevada Gold Mines JV formation on July 1, 2019.

### Employee turnover by gender and initiation: Trailing five years<sup>1</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Males					
Company initiated	399	362	399	627	540
Employee initiated	417	563	585	581	500
Total number of males	816	925	984	1,208	1,040
Company-initiated rate	4.0%	3.8%	4.1%	4.5%	4.3%
Employee-initiated rate	5.0%	5.9%	6.0%	4.1%	4.0%
Total rate for males	9.0%	9.7%	10.1%	8.6%	8.4%
Females					
Company initiated	62	53	104	172	154
Employee initiated	108	140	169	172	104
Total number of females	170	193	273	344	258
Company-initiated rate	4.0%	3.3%	6.0%	7.4%	8.4%
Employee-initiated rate	8.0%	8.8%	9.8%	7.4%	5.7%
Total rate for females	12.0%	12.1%	15.9%	14.7%	14.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 401-1: New employee hires and employee turnover. Significant 2018–2019 changes reflect the April 18, 2019 acquisition of Goldcorp and the omission of Nevada workforce due to the Nevada Gold Mines JV formation on July 1, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Employees in Miami, U.S., the South America regional headquarters location in 2020, are counted as South America region employees for consolidated reporting purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 401-1: New employee hires and employee turnover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 401-1: New employee hires and employee turnover.

## New hires: By country, gender and age group<sup>4</sup>

	Total new hires	Percent of enterprise population
By region		
Africa	329	4.5%
Australia	341	3.5%
North America <sup>1</sup>	409	3.9%
South America <sup>2</sup>	168	2.1%
Total new hires	1,247	14.0%
By gender		
Female	298	4.0%
Male	949	10.0%
Total new hires	1,247	14.0%
New hires by age group <sup>3</sup>		
<30	487	6.9%
30 to 50	635	5.8%
51+	120	1.3%
Total new hires <sup>3</sup>	1,242	14.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> North America Region includes employees in Barbados and France for consolidated reporting purposes.

#### TRAINING AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

## Percentage of employees who have received performance reviews: By gender and employee category<sup>1, 2</sup>

	Number of female employees	Number of females who have received performance reviews	% females who have received performance reviews	Number of male employees	Number of males who have received performance reviews	% males who have received performance reviews
Senior leadership	41	38	92.7%	121	109	90.1%
People managers	281	268	95.4%	1,760	1,726	98.1%
Individual contributors	829	859	103.6%	2,620	2,695	102.9%
Operators and maintainers	729	305	41.8%	8,079	3,927	48.6%
Total	1,880	1,470	78.2%	12,580	8,457	67.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some of these numbers are over 100% because some people had performance reviews and then left the Company before they were counted in the year-end employee numbers.

## Training and development investment spending and hours: Trailing five years<sup>1,2</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Total (\$ million)	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$8.00	\$8.90	\$8.00
Total (hours)	671,242	828,126	808,780	736,807	487,920

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The CC&V operation is excluded from 2019 data due to changes related to the Nevada Gold Mines JV. The Red Lake site, which was divested in the first quarter of 2020, is excluded from this table. Significant 2018–2019 changes reflect the April 18, 2019 acquisition of Goldcorp and the omission of Nevada workforce due to the Nevada Gold Mines JV formation on July 1, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> South America Region includes employees in Miami, U.S. for consolidated reporting purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Total by age group excludes five new hires whose birth date is not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> GRI Standards disclosure GRI 401-1: New employee hires and employee turnover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 404-3: Percentage of employees receiving regular performance and career development reviews; GRI disclosure modified for 2019 integration year - refer to GRI Content Index for full details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 404-1: Average hours of training per year per employee.

## Average hours of training per year, per employee by employee category: Trailing five years<sup>1,2</sup>

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Senior leadership	20	14	23	5	5
People managers	83	68	85	31	22
Business support	67	66	70	36	22
Operators and maintainers	57	62	60	51	42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The CC&V operation is excluded from 2019 data due to changes related to the Nevada Gold Mines JV. The Red Lake site, which was divested in the first quarter of 2020, is excluded from this table.

## Average hours of training per employee: By employee category and gender<sup>1</sup>

	Female	Male
Senior leadership	3	5
People managers	18	23
Business support	18	23
Operators and maintainers	49	41

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 404-1: Average hours of training per year per employee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GRI Standards disclosure 404-1: Average hours of training per year per employee.